



YAYASAN BRATA BHAKTI DAERAH JAWA TIMUR
UNIVERSITAS BHAYANGKARA SURABAYA
LEMBAGA PENELITIAN DAN PENGABDIAN PADA MASYARAKAT
(LPPM)

Kampus : Jl. A. Yani 114 Surabaya Telp. 031 - 8285602, 8291055, Fax. 031 - 8285601

SURAT KETERANGAN

Nomor: Sket/ 8 /I/2023/LPPM/UBHARA

Kepala Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat (LPPM) Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya menerangkan bahwa:

Nama : Dr. Amirullah, ST, MT.
NIP : 197705202005011001
NIDN : 0020057701
Unit Kerja : Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya


Benar telah melakukan kegiatan:

1. Menulis jurnal berjudul Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah dan Agus Kiswantonono) yang telah dipublikasikan di International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), Vol. 6, No. 6, December 2016, pp. 2629~2642, ISSN: 2088-8708, Publisher: Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES).
Terindeks Scopus Q3.
2. Telah melakukan korespondensi melalui email dalam proses penerbitan jurnal tersebut. Bukti korespondensi email dan bukti pendukung adalah benar sudah dilakukan oleh yang bersangkutan serta sudah dilampirkan bersama surat ini.

Demikian surat keterangan ini dibuat untuk kepentingan kelengkapan pengusulan Guru Besar.

Surabaya, 20 Januari 2023

Kepala LPPM



Drs. Heru Irianto, M.Si.

NIP. 9000028

Lampiran 1

**Bukti Korespondensi Email
dengan Editor/Pengelola
Jurnal**

[IJECE] Journal Registration

From: Tole Sutikno (ijece@iaesjournal.com)

To: am9520012003@yahoo.com

Date: Saturday, 24 September 2016 at 07:35 am GMT+7

Amirullah '-' Amirullah

You have now been registered as a user with International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE). We have included your username and password in this email, which are needed for all work with this journal through its website. At any point, you can ask to be removed from the journal's list of users by contacting me.

Username: amirullah

Password: medhurehsampang

Thank you,
Tole Sutikno

How to submit your manuscript? (when the manuscript submission link is not activated)

To make a submission, you must have a user account and be enrolled as an Author. User accounts can either be created by the Journal Manager or you can register yourself (this journal policy allow you create user account by your self as a Reader, an Author and/or a Reviewer). All fields with an asterisk beside them (Username; Password; Repeat Password; First Name; Last Name; Email) are mandatory. Your username and your email address must be unique; furthermore, while you can change your email address at a later date, you will be unable to change your username. If you want to register in another role within the same journal (for example, if you are already a Reader, but also want to become an Author) you can log in; go to Edit My Profile (under My Account on your User Home page); and check off the checkboxes next to any available roles, near the bottom of the page. Once you have an account, log in to the journal site and select the role of Author.

International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering

<http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE>

[IJECE] Submission Acknowledgement

From: Tole Sutikno (ijece@iaesjournal.com)

To: am9520012003@yahoo.com

Date: Saturday, 24 September 2016 at 10:02 am GMT+7

Dear Amirullah '- Amirullah:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy" to International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE/author/submission/12748>

Username: amirullah

If you have any questions, please contact us. Please refer to your paper ID whenever you communicate with our Editorial Office in the future. Your paper ID is latest number at Manuscript URL.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Tole Sutikno

International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)

International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering

<http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE>

Amirullah_PDF Avialable Online and Hardcopy Journal Issued

From: amir rullah (am9520012003@yahoo.com)

To: ijece@iaesjournal.com

Cc: ijece@iaesjournal.com

Date: Wednesday, 18 January 2017 at 05:43 pm GMT+7

Dear IJECE Journal Commitee,

My paper for the title:

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah Amirullah, Agus Kiswantono)

has been accepted and published (avialable online) in [Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy | Amirullah | International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#) **Volume 6 Number 6 December 2016.**



I would like to know:

1. When PDF of the paper will avialable online and could be downloaded by user?

In online version, the paper was only listed *title of paper and name of authors*

2. When hardcopy (book) of IJECE Journal will be issued?

If hardcopy have been issued, I will book it soon.

This is my question and I would be happy if you answer it.

Thanks a lot.

Regards,

Amirullah

Re: Send Paper ID 12748-26432-1-RV (Amirullah and Agus Kiswantonono IJECE Journal 2016_Revised)

From: IJECE Journal (ijece@iaesjournal.com)

To: am9520012003@yahoo.com

Date: Sunday, 5 February 2017 at 12:53 pm GMT+7

Just for 11 pages

On Wed, Nov 9, 2016 at 2:42 PM, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Lyne Han (IJECE Commitee),

I need information again from you, have I to pay paper fee for 12 (before reduce) or 11 pages (after reduced)?

This information is very important before I pay paper fee today, in order making my paper online again in IJECE Vol. 6 No. 16 Dec 2016.

From previous email Dr. Tolle Sutikno email me that my paper **accepted** yesterday.

Please forgive me because I have just know from IJECE regulation that the paper payment as soon as possible.

This is my request and thanks a lot for your cooperation.

Amirullah
PhD Candidate in EE ITS Surabaya
Lecturer Univ. Bhayangkara Surabaya
+62-81-949649423

On Wednesday, 9 November 2016, 8:43, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Lyne Han
(IJECE Commitee),

I just have checked the list of paper Vol 6 No. 6 2016, why is my paper not in the list (missing)?

Yesterday I have checked paper include in the list after I got acceptance email.

How is about status of my paper accepted or rejected?

This information is very important for me before I will pay the paper fee (11 pages).

Amirullah
PhD Candidate ITS Surabaya
Lecturer Ubhara Surabaya

On Wednesday, 9 November 2016, 8:20, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Lyne Han
(IJECE Commitee),

It is okay I means two weeks (not 2 times) depend on this information before (Please submit your payment receipt within 3 weeks ONLY to this email (IJECE@iaesjournal.com) Point 1.

For the point 2 please let me reduce number of paper page form 12 into 11 pages (attachment below). I have only made a final revision in placement of figure. I have not made significant revision to overall structure of this paper. As the fact, it is very difficult for me reduce number of pages from 12 to 8 pages.

Depend on the request for 11 pages the paper fee is (US 225 x Rp. 13.200) + (3 pages x 40 USD x Rp. 13.200) = Rp. 2.970.000 + 1.584.000 = Rp. 4.554.000 or USD 345 (Assume US 1 = Rp. 13.200.00)

If the nominal paper payment is true, I will transfer it today.

For this I need information, is the payment to the bank account below in USD or Rupiah?

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO

Bank Name: Bank Mandiri, KCP Yogyakarta UGM

City: Yogyakarta

Country : Indonesia

Bank Account # : 1370003247703

SWIFT Code: BMRIIDJAXXX

as alternative, You can by using PayPal to email: tole@ee.uad.ac.id)

[Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy.](#) | Amirullah | [International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#).

Amirullah

PhD Candidate EE ITS Surabaya

Lecturer Ubhara Surabaya

On Tuesday, 8 November 2016, 17:37, IJECE Journal <ijece@jaesjournal.com> wrote:

Dear Sir,

1. It's too long time for 2 times, we will re-schedule for Feb'17 issue
2. We re-review your paper If you reduce your page-lengths from 12 into 8 pages

We will publish your paper for Dec'16 issue, if you pay the publication fee now, and only re-check your current paper version

Thank you

Best Regards,
Lyne Han


On Tue, Nov 8, 2016 at 4:15 PM, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:


Dear Dr. Tole Sutikno,

Thanks a lot for your information about acceptance of my paper in IJECE Vol. 6 No. 6. (Indexed Scopus Q3).

The title is Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah Amirullah, Agus Kiswantono)

[Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy.](#) | Amirullah | [International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#).





**Power Quality Enhancement of Integration
Photovoltaic Generator to Grid und...**

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid
under Variable Solar Irradiance Level u...

Next I would revise the paper by reduce number page from 12 into 8 pages and pay accepted paper fee (USD 225) at least 2 weeks started from now.

Amirullah
PhD Candidate in EE ITS Surabaya
Lecturer in Ubhara Surabaya

On Tuesday, 8 November 2016, 14:26, IJECE Journal <ijece@iaesjournal.com> wrote:

Dear Dr. Amirullah,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper is accepted and will be published on the International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), a Scopus indexed journal. Congratulations!

This journal is an OPEN ACCES. Why publish open access? IAES open access authors benefit from: Quality, established and reputable journal, reaching key audiences' with 5 million users per month, high citations, etc. Benefits of the OPEN ACCESS policy:

- Researchers as authors: immediate visibility for research output and thus increased visibility and usage of their results. Open Access may even lead to an increase of impact.
- Researchers looking for information: access to literature everywhere, not only from a campus but also from any site with wifi access.
- Funding agencies: increased return on investment (ROI), increased visibility.
- Universities & research institutes: greater visibility, clearer management information.
- Libraries: increased access for target audience, financially a more attractive model than the current subscription model.
- Teachers & students: unrestricted access to material, enriched education, allowing equality of learning in poor as well as in rich nations.
- Science: enhanced and accelerated research cycle.
- Citizens & society: access to knowledge / access to the results of publicly funded research.
- Enterprises: access to critical information.
- Publishers: transparent business model, ultimate online article distribution, ultimate visibility for articles.

So, Open access fee is paid by the authors, or on their behalf to support the cost of wide open access dissemination of research results, to pay deposit to CrossRef in order to each published articles has a Digital Object Identifier (DOI), to manage the various costs associated with handling and editing of the submitted manuscripts, and the Journal management and publication in general.

Each accepted paper will be charged: USD 225

This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD40 per page

(<http://www.iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE/about/submissions#authorFees>)

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):
Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO
Bank Name: Bank Mandiri, KCP Yogyakarta UGM
City: Yogyakarta
Country : Indonesia
Bank Account # : 1370003247703
SWIFT Code: BMRIIDJAXXX
as alternative, You can by using PayPal to email: tole@ee.uad.ac.id)

Your paper will be scheduled after your payment reached us
Please submit your payment receipt within 3 weeks ONLY to this email (IJECE@iaesjournal.com)

We really appreciate your total commitment to supporting this journal.

Thank you

Best Regards,
T. Sutikno
Editor
IJECE@iaesjournal.com
<http://www.iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE>

On Mon, Oct 24, 2016 at 7:36 AM, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear IJECE Committee,

Here I send you revised paper (Paper ID 12748-26432-1-RV), the title is:

"Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy"

Amirullah, Agus Kiswanto, Study Program of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya, Jl. Ahmad Yani 114 Surabaya 60231, East Java Province, Indonesia, Email: amirullah@ubhara.ac.id, am9520012003@yahoo.com

This is my email and thanks a lot for your cooperation.

Amirullah
University of Bhayangkara Surabaya
+62-81-949649423

Re: Amirullah_Request of Hardcopy IJECE Vol 6 No 6 Dec 2016

From: amir rullah (am9520012003@yahoo.com)

To: ijece@iaesjournal.com

Cc: ijece@iaesjournal.com

Date: Sunday, 5 March 2017 at 06:09 am GMT+7

Dear IJECE Journal Commitee,

My paper including PDF for the title:

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah Amirullah, Agus Kiswantono)

has been accepted and published (avialable online) in [Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy | Amirullah | International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#) **Volume 6 Number 6 December 2016.**

So I would order the hardcopy (book) IJECE Journal Volume 6 Number 6 December 2016.

How much is one hardcopy book for my edition (as Indonesian Author) and what is your account number?

This information is important for me to transfer hardcopy of my IJECE Journal Edition.

This is 3rd email sending you and I would be happy if you respond it.

Thank you very much.

Regards,

Amirullah

On Saturday, 4 February 2017, 9:13, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear IJECE Journal Commitee,

My paper including PDF for the title:

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah Amirullah, Agus Kiswantono)

has been accepted and published (avialable online) in [Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy | Amirullah | International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#) **Volume 6 Number 6 December 2016.**



Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid und...

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level u...

I would like to know when hardcopy (book) of IJECE Journal will be issued?
If hardcopy have been issued, I will book it soon.

This is my question and I would be happy if you answer it.

Thanks a lot.

Regards,

Amirullah

On Wednesday, 18 January 2017, 17:43, amir rullah <am9520012003@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear IJECE Journal Commitee,

My paper for the title:

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy (Amirullah Amirullah, Agus Kiswantono)

has been accepted and published (avialable online) in [Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy | Amirullah | International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\)](#). **Volume 6 Number 6 December 2016.**



Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid und...

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level u...

I would like to know:

1. When PDF of the paper will available online and could be downloaded by user?

In online version, the paper was only listed *title of paper and name of authors*

2. When hardcopy (book) of IJECE Journal will be issued?

If hardcopy have been issued, I will book it soon.

This is my question and I would be happy if you answer it.

Thanks a lot.

Regards,

Amirullah

Lampiran 2

Bukti Pendukung

Lampiran 2.1

Naskah Makalah Submitted

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy

Amirullah¹, Agus Kiswanto²

^{1,2}Study Program of Electrical Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 12th, 201x

Revised Aug 20th, 201x

Accepted Aug 26th, 201x

Keyword:

Photovoltaic Generator

Power Quality

Harmonics

Unbalance

Power Factor

MPPT P and O

MPPT Fuzzy

ABSTRACT

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variable solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. MPPT Fuzzy helps to generate duty cycle to control DC/DC boost converter of PV generators. This model was expected to improve power quality due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There were eight scenarios PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy and compared with MPPT P and O. The research results that application of two methods on different irradiance and PV generator integration level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions, the use of MPPT Fuzzy results unbalanced current was greater than MPPT P and O. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increase. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD was reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy. The application of MPPT Fuzzy was able to enhance profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three phase grid corresponding with IEEE Standard 519-1992. MPPT Fuzzy was capable to improve input power factor better than MPPT P and O.

Copyright © 201x Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science.

All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:

First Author,

Study Program of Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya

Jl. Ahmad Yani 114 Surabaya 60231, East Java Province, Indonesia

Email: amirullah@ubhara.ac.id, am9520012003@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The output power of photovoltaic (PV) array is mainly affected by solar irradiance and temperature. The output power of PV array is a function of terminal voltage and there is only one terminal voltage value where PV panels are used efficiently. The searching procedure of voltage is called maximum power point tracking (MPPT). Several algorithms have been developed to achieve MPPT technique. These are algorithms perturb and observe (P and O), incremental conductance, open circuit voltage, short circuit current, neural network and fuzzy logic controller. PV generator required a converter for generating DC into AC power and achieve MPPT. MPPT can be achieved in one or two stages. First stage, PV array is connected to grid through a DC/AC converter and its used to obtain MPPT and generated DC voltage once. Second stages, PV array connected to grid through DC/DC and DC/AC converters. In these condition, MPPT is obtained through a DC/DC converter control PV input voltage. While PV inverter change voltage from DC/AC and keep DC/DC converter output voltage remain constant. The research of MPPT on PV development using artificial intelligence control algorithm which are neural network and fuzzy logic controller have already done [1]. The advantage of using both methods is to increase limit of stability and efficiency. Neural network controller takes time for learning process, resulting in a slower system response. Fuzzy logic control method is more popular than the neural network because it have more accurate, high performance, and maximum efficiency. Many studies in the field related to PV panel operation errors, especially at low solar irradiance and high temperature degree [2].

The problem of PV generator connected to grid, beside to functioning to supply power to low voltage distribution network, is also reducing electric power quality for example unbalance current and voltage, current

and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. It caused by tracking of MPPT which is influenced by non-linear characteristic panel depends upon varying environmental condition such as temperature and solar irradiance. Another cause is presence of converter as a medium to transform DC into AC voltage for (one stage converter) as well as DC into DC and DC into AC voltage (two stage converter). The using of multilevel inverter based shunt active power filter (SAPF) to improve power quality due to PV generator system connected to grid have been implemented [3]. The proposed method can efficiently be used for reactive power compensation and reducing source current total harmonic distortion (THD) up to 0.57%. The system is also capable of delivering active power with unity power factor to grid. The weakness of it were not discussing improvement harmonic voltage and PV generator connected to grid only one. Analysis of impact of PV generator connected to grid considering power quality (harmonic current) on the distribution already performed [4]. The results showed that injection of current harmonics generated by the customer side of the grid connected PV generation to still meet standart requirement. However the research did not discussed influence of PV integration on harmonics, fluctuations, and voltage flicker, as key parameter in power quality. Research on application of fuzzy logic as MPPT for controlling PV output voltage to achieve closed cycle control as smooth and fast in order to achieve maximum value power point of PV array have been already done [5]. PV systems both stand-alone and connected to grid has been modeled and simulated. The results showed that P-Q control scheme was able to provide closed control rapidly and PV system was able to generate a sinusoidal voltage with very small THD as 2.08%. The weakness of research is not discussing current THD improvement due to integration of PV to grid and number of used PV only a single.

Analysis of power quality due to integration of multi-unit of PV generator connected to three phase grid under variable solar irradiation level have been implemented [6]. Research shows that grid voltage and current on point common coupling (PCC) bus before use double tuned passive filter on condition only connect one plant is still unstable. However, if PV generator connected to three-phase grid, amounted to more than one generator, then grid voltage and current becomes unstable. At level of solar irradiation remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average THD of grid voltage and current increases. At level of solar irradiation increases, average THD of grid voltage and current also have increased. Average THD of grid voltage and current reduced after double tuned passive filter installed. Nevertheless, MPPT control of PV generator still use P and O algorithm and did not use intelligent control. Power quality improvement of PV generator connected to three phase grid using Fuzzy Logic controller under changes in atmospheric conditions and solar radiation has been done [7]. In order to keep the system stable fuzzy logic controller is used to achieve it still has a unity power factor under all conditions. Fuzzy logic controller can improve overall system stability on a three-phase grid connected PV systems in some variation of parameters. Fuzzy logic control method is capable to reduce current THD compared to proportional integral (PI) and robust control. The value of current harmonics using PI, robust, and fuzzy logic control successively reduced from 4.05%, 2.08%, up to 1.05% in order to achieve maximum power. However, number of PV generator connected to the grid is only single.

The purposes of research is to enhance power quality on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load using fuzzy logic controller. Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter based pulse width modulation (PWM) algorithm into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer. Analysis of research includes effect of solar irradiance and integration of a number of PV generator to power quality on constant temperature and load. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT controller has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Futhermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus of low-voltage three-phase grid. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).

The rest of this paper is organized as follow. Section 2 shows proposed model of single and three PV generator system connected to three-phase grid, MPPT P and O method, MPPT Fuzzy method, unbalance voltage and currect, power quality and harmonics, as well as power factor correction. Section 3 describes influence of solar irradiance and integration of PV generator to voltage and current unbalance, voltage and current THD of three phase grid, as well as input power factor on constant temperature and load using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method. In this section, example cases studied are presented and the results are verified with those of Matlab/Simulink. Finally, the paper in concluded in Section 4.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1. Research Procedure

Figure 1 shows model PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three-phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer (Figure 2). The study used three groups of PV generator model with an active power of 100 kW each. Beside that, PV generator is also connected on three groups of three phase load with active power 20 kW respectively.

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. Fuzzy logic controller helps to generate duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter and subsequently result in rapid convergence calculations and more stable to determine MPPT of PV generator. DC/DC converter generate a DC voltage that will be as input to DC/AC converter using PWM. This model is expected to reduce losses due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT control has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Power quality aspects studied are unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus on eight scenarios using (a) MPPT P and O (b) MPPT Fuzzy as follow four conditions respectively (i) irradiance 400 W/m², (ii) irradiance 600 W/m², (iii) irradiance 800 W/m², and (iv) irradiance 1000 W/m² on condition 1, 2, and 3 of model PV generator connected to three phase grid. Futhermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on each scenarios. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).




Figure 1. Single PV generator connected three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy




Figure 2. Proposed model of three PV generator system connected three phase grid

Table 1 shows simulation parameters of three models PV generators connected to three phase grid.

Table 1. Simulation Parameters

Equipment	Parameters	Design Value
PV generator 1, 2, and 3	Power	100 kW
	Temperature	40° C
	Irradiance	400, 600, 800, 1000 W/m ²
Three phase grid	MVA short circuit	100 MVA
	Voltage (line)	380 volt
	Frequency	50 Hz
Three phase distribution transformer	Rated Power	100 kVA
	Frequency	50 Hz
	Rated voltage	380 Volt/20 kV
Load 1, 2, 3	Type	Two winding
	Active power	20 kW
	Voltage (line)	380 Volt
Low voltage lines 1,2, 3	Frequency	50 Hz
	Resistance	R = 0,1273 Ohm/km
	Inductance	L = 93,37 mH/km
Low voltage distribution line	Capacitance	C = 1,274 μF/km
	Line 1	1 km
	Line 2	1 km
DC link capacitor	Line 3	1 km
	Capacitance	2000 μF
	Frequency	4 kHz
PWM generator for DC/AC	Sampling time	5 x 10 ⁻⁶ second
Inverter	Method	Mamdani
Fuzzy inference system	Composition	Max-Min
	Delta voltage	gbellmf, trimf
Input membership function	Delta power	gbellmf, trimf
	Delta duty cycle	trimf

2.2. MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy

The initial research is to determine value of duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter circuit with MPPT P and O algorithm. For PV converter, maximum power available is determined by PV cell characteristics, but this value often mismatches with the maximum power point (MPP) of the load. By implementing MPPT in PV systems, MPP in PV cells can be maintained so that the number and size of PV panels can be reduced or energy yield can be optimized [8].

Due to moving of sun, which leads to change in irradiance, PV panels angle and variation of irradiance reaching the panels, energy generated from PV panels are absorbed does not constant over time. When this condition occurs, the VI characteristics changes and MPP will move. If the system was previously operating at MPP, there will most probably a power loss with the same operating point and new condition. To overcome these problems, MPPT has been developed. The system includes no moving parts (where the modules are moved to track the sun). MPPT search for the maximum power independent based on environmental conditions (following changes in solar radiation and temperature) and maintain the PV terminal voltage remains constant at maximum value. The most used method of MPPT is Perturb and Observe (P and O) that algorithm is shown in Figure 3 [9]. Figure 4 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using P and O algorithm.




Figure 3. P and O Algorithm




Figure 4. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using P and O algorithm

The next process is to perform same procedure for determining it using MPPT Fuzzy method showed in Figure 1. Fuzzy logic controller have been widely used in industrial process in the resent years due to their heuristic nature associated with simplicity, effectiveness and its multi-rule-based variable’s consideration for both linear and non-linear parameter variation of the system. Fuzzy system is composed of knowledge based rules system; the main part of Fuzzy Logic controller is knowledge of base consisting of the If-Then rules. Fuzzy Logic is implemented to obtain the MPP operation voltage point faster with less overshoot and also it can minimize the voltage fluctuation after MPP has been recognized. It also is capable to enhance power quality problem for example unbalance current and voltage, current and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. The control objective is to track maximum power will lead consequently to effective operation of the PV panel. To design the FLC, variables which represent the dynamic performance of the system should be chosen as the input to the controller. The basic block diagram implemented in Fuzzy Logic Controller is shown in Figure 5 [9].




Figure 5. Blok diagram of Fuzzy Logic controller

Due account MPPT Fuzzy method is in terms of intelligence and speed. Fuzzy MPPT method is done by determining input variables, namely fuzzy control output power (ΔP) and output voltage (ΔV) PV generator, seven linguistic variables fuzzy sets, fuzzy operating system block (fuzzyfication, fuzzy rule base, and defuzzyfication), Function ΔP and ΔV during fuzzyfication, a table fuzzy rule base, crisp values to determine duty cycle (D) in defuzzyfication phase with variable step to control DC /DC boost converter circuit. Figure 6 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller.




Figure 6. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller

At fuzzyfication phase shown in Figure 5, a number of input variables is calculated and converted into a linguistic variable based on the subset called membership function (MF). To translate value of voltage change and power change in, input fuzzy "change of voltage" and "change of power" is designed to use seven fuzzy variable called PB (Positive Big), PM (Positive Medium), NS (Negative Small), PS (Positive Small) ZE (Zero), NM (Negative Medium), and Negative Big (NB). Voltage change (ΔV) and power changes (ΔP) is a proposed system input variables and output variable fuzzy logic control is duty cycle change value (ΔD). Membership function voltage changes, power changes, and duty cycle change, each shown in Figure 7 up to 9. Limit of input and output membership functions applied, determined by prior knowledge of parameter variations.




Figure 7. Input variable voltage change (delta voltage)




Figure 8. Input variable power change (delta power)




Figure 9. Output variable duty cycle change (delta D)

The fuzzy rule algorithm collects a number of fuzzy control rules in a specific order. This rule is used to control system to meet desired performance requirements, and they are designed from a knowledge of intelligent control systems. The fuzzy inference of fuzzy logic controller using a method that relates to a composition Mamdani Max-Min. Fuzzy inference system in fuzzy logic controller consists of three parts, namely rule base, database, and reasoning mechanism (Figure 5). Rule base consists of a number of If-Then rule for proper operation of controller. The If side of rule is called antecedent and Then side is called consequence. These rules may be regarded as similar response made by human thought processes and controllers using linguistic input variables, obtained after fuzzyfication for the operation of these rules. The database consists of all user-defined membership function to be used in a number of these rules. Reasoning mechanism basically given processing rules based on specific rules and given conditions provides us the required result.

After determine ΔV and ΔP value, that value is then converted into linguistic variables and use them as input functions for fuzzy logic controller. The output value is ΔD is generated using block inference and fuzzy logic controller rules as shown in Table 2. Finally defuzzyfication block operates to change value of ΔD is raised from linguistic variables into numeric variables back. Numeric variables that become inputs signal for the IGBT switch of DC/DC boost converter to be able to determine MPPT value for each generation PV accurately at the same time also improve power quality as a result of integration of three PV generator to low voltage three phase grid.

Table 2. Fuzzy Rules

ΔV	ΔP	NB	NM	NS	ZE	PS	PM	PB
		NB	PM	PS	NS	NS	NM	NB
		NM	PM	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
		NS	PS	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
		ZE	NS	NS	PS	ZE	NS	NS
		PS	NS	NS	NS	PS	PS	PS
		PM	NM	NM	NS	PS	PS	PS
		PB	NB	NB	NM	PS	PS	PB

2.3. Harmonics

Power quality means the quality of voltage and current. Both are determined based on value or tolerance limit of equipment used. In general, current and voltage waveform is pure sinusoidal waveform. One problem that arises is wave of current and voltage is not sinusoidal or defects caused by emergence of harmonics generated by power system [10]. The term used to describe deviations harmonics sinusoidal wave associated with the current and voltage of different amplitude and frequency. Changes in current and voltage waveforms caused by harmonics will disrupt electrical distribution system and lower quality of power system. In electric power system, harmonics can be described as a distorted periodic waveform at steady state are caused by interaction between shape of a sine wave at fundamental frequency system with another wave components which are integer multiples of the frequency of fundamental frequency sources. Figure 10 shows the signal waveform distortion due to harmonics.

Harmonic distortion explained through several key parameters to describe the effects of harmonics on power system components. The first parameter is Total Harmonic Distortion (THD). THD is ratio of rms value of harmonic components to rms value of fundamental component and is commonly expressed in percent (%). This index is used to measure deviations periodic waveforms containing harmonics of a perfect sine wave [10]. On a perfect sine wave THD value is zero percent. Voltage THD value is expressed in Equation 1.




Figure 10. Distorted wave resulted by harmonics. Description a = wave at the fundamental frequency, b.1 = 3rd harmonic wave, b.2 = wave harmonics 5th, c = distorted wave.

$$THD_v = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^k U_n^2}}{U_1} \times 100 \% \tag{1}$$

Description: U_n = harmonic component; U_1 = the fundamental component; K = maximum harmonic components

The second parameter is the Total Demand Distortion (TDD) or THD_I is amount of current harmonic distortion and defined in following equation [10]:

$$THD_I = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^k I_n^2}}{I_L} \times 100 \% \tag{2}$$

Where I_L is the maximum load current (for 15 or 30 minutes) at the fundamental frequency at the PCC, calculated from the average current of the maximum load of 12 months earlier. THD value of the maximum allowable for each country is different depending on the standard used. THD standards most often used in electric power system is the IEEE Standard 519-1992 [11]. There are two criteria that are used in the analysis of harmonic distortion that voltage distortion limits and current distortion limits.

2.4. Voltage and Current Unbalance

There are several standards that can be used to determine level of voltage unbalance in three-phase systems, e.g. IEC, NEMA, and IEEE. In this study, value of unbalance voltage use Equation 3 is based ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard [12] as follows:

$$V(\%) = \frac{|V_{average} - V_{a,b,c \min \text{ or } \max}|}{V_{average}} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

By using Equation 1, value of unbalance voltage expressed in percent (%) and is defined as follows; $V_{average}$ is the average value of maximum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \min}$ is minimum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \max}$ is maximum voltage on phase a, b, c (volt). By using the same equation, then percentage of unbalance current can be calculated by replacing voltage magnitude into current magnitude.

2.5. Power Factor Correction

Power factor improvement or power factor correction (PFC) can be obtained based on value input harmonic distortion (THD) source current. Equation 4 shows input power factor as a function of source current THD [13]:

$$PF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{THD_i(\%)}{100}\right)^2}} \quad (4)$$

PF is input power factor and THDi is current harmonics expressed in percent.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Analysis of results starts from determination maximum and minimum voltage grid on each phase, unbalance voltage using Equation 3, as well as voltage THD in three phase grid on PCC bus respectively, using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy. By using the same procedure subsequently obtained unbalance current value, current THD, and input power factor using Equation 4, based on grid current THD obtained previously. Table 3 show voltage unbalance and average voltage harmonic (THD_v) grid in three model integration of PV generator and four different levels of radiation connected to three phase grid using MPPT P and O and MMPT Fuzzy. Table 4 shows unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD_i), and input power factor on PCC bus at the same condition.

Table 3. Unbalance voltage and average voltage harmonic (THD_v) grid

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Voltage (V)			Unbalance Voltage (%)	THD _v (%)			Average THD _v (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C	
MPPT P and O Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.52
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.90	0.87	0.97	0.92
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	2.68	2.58	2.63	2.63
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.78	0.73	0.65	0.72
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.13	1.22	1.39	1.25
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.71	3.62	3.54	3.63
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.94	0.86	0.84	0.88
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.60	1.50	1.54	1.55
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	4.11	4.17	4.05	4.11
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.86	0.83	0.79	0.83
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.45	1.49	1.59	1.51
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.95	3.92	3.84	3.91
MPPT Fuzzy Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.23
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.42	0.43	0.41
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	1.80	1.56	1.74	1.70
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.35	0.30	0.28	0.31
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.53	0.51	0.60	0.55
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.11	1.90	2.06	2.03
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.34	0.32	0.35
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.61	0.60	0.70	0.64
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.49	2.09	2.39	2.33
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.52	0.48	0.44	0.48
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.85	0.80	0.93	0.86
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.79	2.80	2.68	2.76

Table 4. Unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD_i), and input power factor

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Current (A)			Unbalance Current (%)	THD _i (%)			Average THD _i (%)	Average PF (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C		
MPPT P and O Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.69	9.69	9.69	0	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.24	0.24	0.34	0.28	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.01	1.27	1.69	1.66	99.986
2	600	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.35	0.24	0.39	0.33	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.24	1.48	1.83	1.85	99.983
3	800	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.44	0.31	0.40	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.28	1.56	1.93	1.93	99.982
4	1000	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.39	0.32	0.41	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.31	1.61	1.85	1.93	99.982
MPPT-Fuzzy Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.04	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.50	9.40	9.70	1.7480	0.12	0.32	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.79	1.19	1.57	1.52	99.988
2	600	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.15	0.30	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.87	1.18	1.60	1.55	99.988
3	800	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.68	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.16	0.29	0.35	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.65	0.86	1.69	1.40	99.990
4	1000	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.21	0.23	0.32	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	2.06	1.26	1.70	1.68	99.986

Figure 11 shows grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 11. Simulation results of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 12 shows harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.

(a) PV1




Figure 12. Harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 13 shows curves of average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration and four levels of solar irradiance level connected three-phase grid on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 13. Average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration under solar irradiance level from 400 to 1000 W/m²

Table 3 shows that nominal grid voltage before using MPPT P and O method on the condition only connected to single generator (PV1) and two generators (PV1 + PV2) is still stable each as 308 volt. However, if PV generator connected to three phase grid equal as three (PV1 + PV2 + PV3), then grid voltage on bus PCC bus rises to 310 volt or 0.65%. MPPT P and O method results a nominal voltage unbalanced for all levels of radiation (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1, PV2 + PV1 and PV2+PV1+PV3) as 0%. The application of MPPT Fuzzy method shows that grid voltage on each phase for all levels of radiation and integration generator level still stable at 308 volts and results voltage unbalance 0%. These results indicate that

using both methods has not significant impact on changing of nominal unbalance voltage. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest of average voltage harmonics (THD_V) is generated on the condition of three PV generators connected to three phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and radiation levels of 800 W/m² as 4.11%. The smallest grid average voltage harmonics is generated on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400W/m² at 12.52%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 2.76%. The smallest of average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.23%. Figure 11 and 12 shows that at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average voltage harmonic will increase. Figure 13 also shows at solar radiation level is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy, then average grid voltage harmonics also will increase. The average grid voltage harmonics is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy Method. Therefore, the application of MPPT Fuzzy is able to improve grid voltage harmonics (THD_V) profile as a result of integration of PV generator to three phase grid.

Table 4 shows the use of MPPT P and O method results nominal of unbalance current for all irradiance (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1+PV2+PV3) level from 0%, 1.73%, and 15.385 %. At the same condition if using MPPT Fuzzy method produces an increase of current unbalance began to 0.1032%, 1.3940%, and 19.048%. These results indicate that the application of MPPT Fuzzy method produces unbalanced current value greater than MPPT Method P and O. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_I) generated on condition of three PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance levels of 800 W/m² at 1.93%. At the same condition, the smallest average grid current harmonics is generated on condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance level of 400 W/m² at 0.07%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_I) resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 1.68%. The smallest value of THD_I is generated on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.04%. Fuzzy MPPT method is able of improving value of input power factor better than MPPT P and O method. Table 4 shows at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of THD_I will increase. At the level of solar irradiance is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method, then value of THD_I grid also increased. THD_I is reduced grid after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Finally the application of MPPT Fuzzy is capable to enhance grid current harmonics profile due to integration of a number of PV generator to three phase grid.

4. CONCLUSION

The method of MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy on different irradiance and integration PV generator level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions of use MPPT Fuzzy method results unbalanced current is greater than MPPT P and O method. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then values of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increase. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Therefore the application of Fuzzy Logic Controller method for MPPT is able to improve the profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three-phase grid corresponding IEEE Standard 519-1992. Fuzzy MPPT method is capable of improving input power factor better than MPPT P and O method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge to Directorate of Research and Community Service, Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia, for financial support through Research Competitive Grants or "Penelitian Hibah Bersaing" (PHB) 2016 (First Year) base on Letter Number 0581/E3/2016 date 24 February 2016 (Bacth 2).

REFERENCES

- [1] Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz, *et al.*, "Transient Analysis of Grid Connected Photovoltaic System Based on Comparative Study of Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques", International Journal of Advances in Power Systems (IJAPS) ISSN: 2335-1772 Vol. 1, No.3, Desember 2013.
- [2] Mahmoud N. Ali, *et al.*, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic Power Plant Controlled By Using FLC and CR With DC-DC Boost Converter", International Journal of Scientific Research Engineering and Technology (IJSRET) ISSN 2278-0882, Volume 3, Issue, 6 September 2014.
- [3] S. Karunambigai, *et al.*, "Power Quality Improvement of Grid Connected Solar System", Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research Vol. 74, June 2015, pp. 354-357.

- [4] Zhou Chang and Shi Tao, "Power Quality Analysis of Photovoltaic Generation Integrated in User Side Grid", *International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering*, Vol. 5, No.2, April 2013. DOI: 10.7763/IJCEE.2013.V5.690.
- [5] Prince Jose and Priya Rani Jos, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic System with Fuzzy Logic Control Based MPPT", *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)*, ISSN: 2277-3754, Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014.
- [6] Amirullah, Ontoseno Penangsang, Adi Soeprijanto, "Power Quality Analysis of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Three Phase Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level", *TELKOMNIKA*, Vol.14, No.1, March 2016, pp. 29~38, ISSN: 1693-6930, DOI: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v14i1.3298.
- [7] G. Bhanu Sree and D. Sunder Singh, "Power Quality Improvement in Three Phase Grid Connected System Integrated with Photovoltaic Cells using Fuzzy Controller", *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology Research*, ISSN 2319-8885, Vol. 04, Issue 48, November 2015, Pages: 10426-10432.
- [8] L. Siva Chaitanya Kumar and K. Padma, "Matlab/Simulink Based Modelling and Simulation of Residential Grid Connected Solar Photovoltaic", *International Journal of Engineering Reseach and Technology (IJERT)*, ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 3. Issue 3, March-2014.
- [9] Akash Garg, *et al.*, "Comparison of P & O and Fuzzy Logic Controller in MPPT for Photovoltaic (PV) Applications by Using MATLAB/Simulink", *IOSR Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IOSR-JEEE)* e-ISSN: 2278-1676,p-ISSN: 2320-3331, Volume 10, Issue 4 Ver. I (July – Aug. 2015), PP 53-62 DOI: 10.9790/1676-10415362.
- [10] Arrilaga, Jos and Watson, Neville, "Power System Harmonics", Chicester: John Willey and Sons, 2003.
- [11] Thomas M. Bloming, P.E. and Daniel J. Carnovale, P.E., "Application of IEEE Standar 519-1992 Harmonic Limits", Presented at The 2005 IEEE IAS Pulp and Paper Industry Conference in Appleton, WI.
- [12] ANSI/IEEE 241, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Systems in Commercial Buildings", 1990.
- [13] S. Parthasarathy and Dr. Rajasekaran, "Harmonic Migitation in Rectifier System Using Hybrid Power System", *International Conference on Computing, Electronics, and Electrical Technologies [ICCEET]*, 2012.

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF AUTHORS



Amirullah was born in Sampang East Java Indonesis, in 1977. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from University of Brawijaya Malang and ITS Surabaya, in 2000 and 2008, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. He is currently working toward the doctoral degree, in electrical engineering in Power System and Simulation Laboratory (PSSL) ITS Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, power quality, harmonic distortion, design of harmonic filter/power factor correction, and renewable energy.



Agus Kiswanton was born in Malang East Java Indonesia, in 1971. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from Institut Teknologi Adhi Tama Surabaya (ITATS) and ITS Surabaya, in 1995 and 2009, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, application of artificial intelligent in power system and power distribution, as well as renewable energy in distributed generation.

Lampiran 2.2

Revisi Makalah Pertama

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy

Amirullah¹, Agus Kiswantono²

^{1,2}Study Program of Electrical Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 12th, 201x

Revised Aug 20th, 201x

Accepted Aug 26th, 201x

Keyword:

Photovoltaic Generator

Power Quality

Harmonics

Unbalance

Power Factor

MPPT P and O

MPPT Fuzzy

ABSTRACT

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variable solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. MPPT Fuzzy helps to generate duty cycle to control DC/DC boost converter of PV generators. This model was expected to improve power quality due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There were eight scenarios PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy and compared with MPPT P and O. The research results that application of two methods on different irradiance and PV generator integration level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions, the use of MPPT Fuzzy results unbalanced current was greater than MPPT P and O. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increase. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD was reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy. The application of MPPT Fuzzy was able to enhance profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three phase grid corresponding with IEEE Standard 519-1992. MPPT Fuzzy was capable to improve input power factor better than MPPT P and O.

Copyright © 201x Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science.

All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:

First Author,

Study Program of Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya

Jl. Ahmad Yani 114 Surabaya 60231, East Java Province, Indonesia

Email: amirullah@ubhara.ac.id, am9520012003@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The output power of photovoltaic (PV) array is mainly affected by solar irradiance and temperature. The output power of PV array is a function of terminal voltage and there is only one terminal voltage value where PV panels are used efficiently. The searching procedure of voltage is called maximum power point tracking (MPPT). Several algorithms have been developed to achieve MPPT technique. These are algorithms perturb and observe (P and O), incremental conductance, open circuit voltage, short circuit current, neural network and fuzzy logic controller. PV generator required a converter for generating DC into AC power and achieve MPPT. MPPT can be achieved in one or two stages. First stage, PV array is connected to grid through a DC/AC converter and its used to obtain MPPT and generated DC voltage once. Second stages, PV array connected to grid through DC/DC and DC/AC converters. In these condition, MPPT is obtained through a DC/DC converter control PV input voltage. While PV inverter change voltage from DC/AC and keep DC/DC converter output voltage remain constant. The research of MPPT on PV development using artificial intelligence control algorithm which are neural network and fuzzy logic controller have already done [1]. The advantage of using both methods is to increase limit of stability and efficiency. Neural network controller takes time for learning process, resulting in a slower system response. Fuzzy logic control method is more popular than the neural network because it have more accurate, high performance, and maximum efficiency. Many studies in the field related to PV panel operation errors, especially at low solar irradiance and high temperature degree [2].

The problem of PV generator connected to grid, beside to functioning to supply power to low voltage distribution network, is also reducing electric power quality for example unbalance current and

voltage, current and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. It caused by tracking of MPPT which is influenced by non-linear characteristic panel depends upon varying environmental condition such as temperature and solar irradiance. Another cause is presence of converter as a medium to transform DC into AC voltage for (one stage converter) as well as DC into DC and DC into AC voltage (two stage converter). The using of multilevel inverter based shunt active power filter (SAPF) to improve power quality due to PV generator system connected to grid have been implemented [3]. The proposed method can efficiently be used for reactive power compensation and reducing source current total harmonic distortion (THD) up to 0.57%. The system is also capable of delivering active power with unity power factor to grid. The weakness of it were not discussing improvement harmonic voltage and PV generator connected to grid only one. Analysis of impact of PV generator connected to grid considering power quality (harmonic current) on the distribution already performed [4]. The results showed that injection of current harmonics generated by the customer side of the grid connected PV generation to still meet standart requirement. However the research did not discussed influence of PV integration on harmonics, fluctuations, and voltage flicker, as key parameter in power quality. Research on application of fuzzy logic as MPPT for controlling PV output voltage to achieve closed cycle control as smooth and fast in order to achieve maximum value power point of PV array have been already done [5]. PV systems both stand-alone and connected to grid has been modeled and simulated. The results showed that P-Q control scheme was able to provide closed control rapidly and PV system was able to generate a sinusoidal voltage with very small THD as 2.08%. The weakness of research is not discussing current THD improvement due to integration of PV to grid and number of used PV only a single.

Analysis of power quality due to integration of multi-unit of PV generator connected to three phase grid under variable solar irradiation level have been implemented [6]. Research shows that grid voltage and current on point common coupling (PCC) bus before use double tuned passive filter on condition only connect one plant is still unstable. However, if PV generator connected to three-phase grid, amounted to more than one generator, then grid voltage and current becomes unstable. At level of solar irradiation remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average THD of grid voltage and current increases. At level of solar irradiation increases, average THD of grid voltage and current also have increased. Avarage THD of grid voltage and current reduced after double tuned passive filter installed. Nevertheless, MPPT control of PV generator still use P and O algorithm and did not use intelligent control. Power quality improvement of PV generator connected to three phase grid using Fuzzy Logic controller under changes in atmospheric conditions and solar radiation has been done [7]. In order to keep the system stable fuzzy logic controller is used to achieve it still has a unity power factor under all conditions. Fuzzy logic controller can improve overall system stability on a three-phase grid connected PV systems in some variation of parameters. Fuzzy logic control method is capable to reduce current THD compared to proportional integral (PI) and robust control. The value of current harmonics using PI, robust, and fuzzy logic control successively reduced from 4.05%, 2.08%, up to 1.05% in order to achieve maximum power. However, number of PV generator connected to the grid is only single.

The purposes of research is to enhance power quality on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load using fuzzy logic controller. Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter based pulse width modulation (PWM) algorithm into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer. Analysis of research includes effect of solar irradiance and integration of a number of PV generator to power quality on constant temperature and load. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT controller has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Futhermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus of low-voltage three-phase grid. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).

The rest of this paper is organized as follow. Section 2 shows proposed model of single and three PV generator system connected to three-phase grid, MPPT P and O method, MPPT Fuzzy method, unbalance voltage and currect, power quality and harmonics, as well as power factor correction. Section 3 describes influence of solar irradiance and integration of PV generator to voltage and current unbalance, voltage and current THD of three phase grid, as well as input power factor on constant temperature and load using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method. In this section, example cases studied are presented and the results are verified with those of Matlab/Simulink. Finally, the paper in concluded in Section 4.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1. Research Procedure

Figure 1 shows model PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three-phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer (Figure 2). The study used three groups of PV generator model with an active power of 100 kW each. Beside that, PV generator is also connected on three groups of three phase load with active power 20 kW respectively.

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. Fuzzy logic controller helps to generate duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter and subsequently result in rapid convergence calculations and more stable to determine MPPT of PV generator. DC/DC converter generate a DC voltage that will be as input to DC/AC converter using PWM. This model is expected to reduce losses due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT control has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Power quality aspects studied are unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus on eight scenarios using (a) MPPT P and O (b) MPPT Fuzzy as follow four conditions respectively (i) irradiance 400 W/m², (ii) irradiance 600 W/m², (iii) irradiance 800 W/m², and (iv) irradiance 1000 W/m² on condition 1, 2, and 3 of model PV generator connected to three phase grid. Futhermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on each scenarios. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).




Figure 1. Single PV generator connected three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy




Figure 2. Proposed model of three PV generator system connected three phase grid

Table 1 shows simulation parameters of three models PV generators connected to three phase grid.

Table 1. Simulation Parameters

Equipment	Parameters	Design Value
PV generator 1, 2, and 3	Power	100 kW
	Temperature	40° C
	Irradiance	400, 600, 800, 1000 W/m ²
Three phase grid	MVA short circuit	100 MVA
	Voltage (line)	380 volt
	Frequency	50 Hz
Three phase distribution transformer	Rated Power	100 kVA
	Frequency	50 Hz
	Rated voltage	380 Volt/20 kV
Load 1, 2, 3	Type	Two winding
	Active power	20 kW
	Voltage (line)	380 Volt
Low voltage lines 1,2, 3	Frequency	50 Hz
	Resistance	R = 0,1273 Ohm/km
	Inductance	L = 93,37 mH/km
Low voltage distribution line	Capacitance	C = 1,274 μF/km
	Line 1	1 km
	Line 2	1 km
DC link capacitor	Line 3	1 km
	Capacitance	2000 μF
	Frequency	4 kHz
PWM generator for DC/AC	Sampling time	5 x 10 ⁻⁶ second
Inverter	Method	Mamdani
Fuzzy inference system	Composition	Max-Min
Fuzzy model	Delta voltage	gbellmf, trimf
Input membership function	Delta power	gbellmf, trimf
	Delta duty cycle	trimf

2.2. MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy

The initial research is to determine value of duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter circuit with MPPT P and O algorithm. For PV converter, maximum power available is determined by PV cell characteristics, but this value often mismatches with the maximum power point (MPP) of the load. By implementing MPPT in PV systems, MPP in PV cells can be maintained so that the number and size of PV panels can be reduced or energy yield can be optimized [8].

Due to moving of sun, which leads to change in irradiance, PV panels angle and variation of irradiance reaching the panels, energy generated from PV panels are absorbed does not constant over time. When this condition occurs, the VI characteristics changes and MPP will move. If the system was previously operating at MPP, there will most probably a power loss with the same operating point and new condition. To overcome these problems, MPPT has been developed. The system includes no moving parts (where the modules are moved to track the sun). MPPT search for the maximum power independent based on environmental conditions (following changes in solar radiation and temperature) and maintain the PV terminal voltage remains constant at maximum value. The most used method of MPPT is Perturb and Observe (P and O) that algorithm is shown in Figure 3 [9]. Figure 4 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using P and O algorithm.




Figure 3. P and O Algorithm




Figure 4. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using P and O algorithm

The next process is to perform same procedure for determining it using MPPT Fuzzy method showed in Figure 1. Fuzzy logic controller have been widely used in industrial process in the resent years due to their heuristic nature associated with simplicity, effectiveness and its multi-rule-based variable’s consideration for both linear and non-linear parameter variation of the system. Fuzzy system is composed of knowledge based rules system; the main part of Fuzzy Logic controller is knowledge of base consisting of the If-Then rules. Fuzzy Logic is implemented to obtain the MPP operation voltage point faster with less overshoot and also it can minimize the voltage fluctuation after MPP has been recognized. It also is capable to enhance power quality problem for example unbalance current and voltage, current and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. The control objective is to track maximum power will lead consequently to effective operation of the PV panel. To design the FLC, variables which represent the dynamic performance of the system should be chosen as the input to the controller. The basic block diagram implemented in Fuzzy Logic Controller is shown in Figure 5 [9].




Figure 5. Blok diagram of Fuzzy Logic controller

Due account MPPT Fuzzy method is in terms of intelligence and speed. Fuzzy MPPT method is done by determining input variables, namely fuzzy control output power (ΔP) and output voltage (ΔV) PV generator, seven linguistic variables fuzzy sets, fuzzy operating system block (fuzzification, fuzzy rule base, and defuzzification), Function ΔP and ΔV during fuzzification, a table fuzzy rule base, crisp values to determine duty cycle (D) in defuzzification phase with variable step to control DC /DC boost converter circuit. Figure 6 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller.




Figure 6. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller

At fuzzyfication phase shown in Figure 5, a number of input variables is calculated and converted into a linguistic variable based on the subset called membership function (MF). To translate value of voltage change and power change in, input fuzzy "change of voltage" and "change of power" is designed to use seven fuzzy variable called PB (Positive Big), PM (Positive Medium), NS (Negative Small), PS (Positive Small) ZE (Zero), NM (Negative Medium), and Negative Big (NB). Voltage change (ΔV) and power changes (ΔP) is a proposed system input variables and output variable fuzzy logic control is duty cycle change value (ΔD). Membership function voltage changes, power changes, and duty cycle change, each shown in Figure 7 up to 9. Limit of input and output membership functions applied, determined by prior knowledge of parameter variations.




Figure 7. Input variable voltage change (delta voltage)




Figure 8. Input variable power change (delta power)




Figure 9. Output variable duty cycle change (delta D)

The fuzzy rule algorithm collects a number of fuzzy control rules in a specific order. This rule is used to control system to meet desired performance requirements, and they are designed from a knowledge of intelligent control systems. The fuzzy inference of fuzzy logic controller using a method that relates to a composition Mamdani Max-Min. Fuzzy inference system in fuzzy logic controller consists of three parts, namely rule base, database, and reasoning mechanism (Figure 5). Rule base consists of a number of If-Then rule for proper operation of controller. The If side of rule is called antecedent and Then side is called consequence. These rules may be regarded as similar response made by human thought processes and controllers using linguistic input variables, obtained after fuzzyfication for the operation of these rules. The database consists of all user-defined membership function to be used in a number of these rules. Reasoning mechanism basically given processing rules based on specific rules and given conditions provides us the required result.

After determine ΔV and ΔP value, that value is then converted into linguistic variables and use them as input functions for fuzzy logic controller. The output value is ΔD is generated using block inference and fuzzy logic controller rules as shown in Table 2. Finally defuzzyfication block operates to change value of ΔD is raised from linguistic variables into numeric variables back. Numeric variables that become inputs signal for the IGBT switch of DC/DC boost converter to be able to determine MPPT value for each generation PV accurately at the same time also improve power quality as a result of integration of three PV generator to low voltage three phase grid.

Table 2. Fuzzy Rules

ΔV	ΔP	NB	NM	NS	ZE	PS	PM	PB
	NB	PB	PM	PS	NS	NS	NM	NB
	NM	PM	PS	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
	NS	PS	PS	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
	ZE	NS	NS	PS	ZE	ZE	NS	NS
	PS	NS	NS	NS	PS	PS	PS	PS
	PM	NM	NM	NS	PS	PS	PS	PS
	PB	NB	NB	NM	PS	PS	PM	PB

2.3. Harmonics

Power quality means the quality of voltage and current. Both are determined based on value or tolerance limit of equipment used. In general, current and voltage waveform is pure sinusoidal waveform. One problem that arises is wave of current and voltage is not sinusoidal or defects caused by emergence of harmonics generated by power system [10]. The term used to describe deviations harmonics sinusoidal wave associated with the current and voltage of different amplitude and frequency. Changes in current and voltage waveforms caused by harmonics will disrupt electrical distribution system and lower quality of power system. In electric power system, harmonics can be described as a distorted periodic waveform at steady state are caused by interaction between shape of a sine wave at fundamental frequency system with another wave components which are integer multiples of the frequency of fundamental frequency sources. Figure 10 shows the signal waveform distortion due to harmonics.

Harmonic distortion explained through several key parameters to describe the effects of harmonics on power system components. The first parameter is Total Harmonic Distortion (THD). THD is ratio of rms value of harmonic components to rms value of fundamental component and is commonly expressed in percent (%). This index is used to measure deviations periodic waveforms containing harmonics of a perfect sine wave [10]. On a perfect sine wave THD value is zero percent. Voltage THD value is expressed in Equation 1.




Figure 10. Distorted wave resulted by harmonics. Description a = wave at the fundamental frequency, b.1 = 3rd harmonic wave, b.2 = wave harmonics 5th, c = distorted wave.

$$THD_V = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^k U_n^2}}{U_1} \times 100 \% \tag{1}$$

Description: U_n = harmonic component; U_1 = the fundamental component; K = maximum harmonic components

The second parameter is the Total Demand Distortion (TDD) or THD_I is amount of current harmonic distortion and defined in following equation [10]:

$$THD_I = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^k I_n^2}}{I_L} \times 100 \% \tag{2}$$

Where I_L is the maximum load current (for 15 or 30 minutes) at the fundamental frequency at the PCC, calculated from the average current of the maximum load of 12 months earlier. THD value of the maximum allowable for each country is different depending on the standard used. THD standards most often used in electric power system is the IEEE Standard 519-1992 [11]. There are two criteria that are used in the analysis of harmonic distortion that voltage distortion limits and current distortion limits.

2.4. Voltage and Current Unbalance

There are several standards that can be used to determine level of voltage unbalance in three-phase systems, e.g. IEC, NEMA, and IEEE. In this study, value of unbalance voltage use Equation 3 is based ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard [12] as follows:

$$V(\%) = \frac{|V_{average} - V_{a,b,c \text{ min or max}}|}{V_{average}} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

By using Equation 1, value of unbalance voltage expressed in percent (%) and is defined as follows; $V_{average}$ is the average value of maximum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \text{ min}}$ is minimum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \text{ max}}$ is maximum voltage on phase a, b, c (volt). By using the same equation, then percentage of unbalance current can be calculated by replacing voltage magnitude into current magnitude.

2.5. Power Factor Correction

Power factor improvement or power factor correction (PFC) can be obtained based on value input harmonic distortion (THD) source current. Equation 4 shows input power factor as a function of source current THD [13]:

$$PF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{THD_i(\%)}{100}\right)^2}} \quad (4)$$

PF is input power factor and THDi is current harmonics expressed in percent.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Analysis of results starts from determination maximum and minimum voltage grid on each phase, unbalance voltage using Equation 3, as well as voltage THD in three phase grid on PCC bus respectively, using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy. By using the same procedure subsequently obtained unbalance current value, current THD, and input power factor using Equation 4, based on grid current THD obtained previously. Table 3 show voltage unbalance and average voltage harmonic (THD_v) grid in three model integration of PV generator and four different levels of radiation connected to three phase grid using MPPT P and O and MMPT Fuzzy. Table 4 shows unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD_i), and input power factor on PCC bus at the same condition.

Table 3. Unbalance voltage and average voltage harmonic (THD_v) grid

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Voltage (V)			Unbalance Voltage (%)	THD _v (%)			Average THD _v (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C	
MPPT P and O Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.52
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.90	0.87	0.97	0.92
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	2.68	2.58	2.63	2.63
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.78	0.73	0.65	0.72
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.13	1.22	1.39	1.25
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.71	3.62	3.54	3.63
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.94	0.86	0.84	0.88
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.60	1.50	1.54	1.55
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	4.11	4.17	4.05	4.11
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.86	0.83	0.79	0.83
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.45	1.49	1.59	1.51
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.95	3.92	3.84	3.91
MPPT Fuzzy Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.23
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.42	0.43	0.41
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	1.80	1.56	1.74	1.70
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.35	0.30	0.28	0.31
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.53	0.51	0.60	0.55
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.11	1.90	2.06	2.03
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.34	0.32	0.35
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.61	0.60	0.70	0.64
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.49	2.09	2.39	2.33
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.52	0.48	0.44	0.48
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.85	0.80	0.93	0.86
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.79	2.80	2.68	2.76

Table 4. Unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD_I), and input power factor

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Current (A)			Unbalance Current (%)	THD _I (%)			Average THD _I (%)	Average PF (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C		
MPPT P and O Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.69	9.69	9.69	0	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.24	0.24	0.34	0.28	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.01	1.27	1.69	1.66	99.986
2	600	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.35	0.24	0.39	0.33	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.24	1.48	1.83	1.85	99.983
3	800	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.44	0.31	0.40	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.28	1.56	1.93	1.93	99.982
4	1000	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.39	0.32	0.41	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.31	1.61	1.85	1.93	99.982
MPPT-Fuzzy Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.04	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.50	9.40	9.70	1.7480	0.12	0.32	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.79	1.19	1.57	1.52	99.988
2	600	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.15	0.30	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.87	1.18	1.60	1.55	99.988
3	800	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.68	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.16	0.29	0.35	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.65	0.86	1.69	1.40	99.990
4	1000	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.21	0.23	0.32	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	2.06	1.26	1.70	1.68	99.986

Figure 11 shows grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 11. Simulation results of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 12 shows harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 12. Harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 13 shows curves of average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration and four levels of solar irradiance level connected three-phase grid on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 13. Average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration under solar irradiance level from 400 to 1000 W/m²

Table 3 shows that nominal grid voltage before using MPPT P and O method on the condition only connected to single generator (PV1) and two generators (PV1 + PV2) is still stable each as 308 volt. However, if PV generator connected to three phase grid equal as three (PV1 + PV2 + PV3), then grid voltage on bus PCC bus rises to 310 volt or 0.65%. MPPT P and O method results a nominal voltage unbalanced for all levels of radiation (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1, PV2 + PV1 and PV2+PV1+PV3)

as 0%. The application of MPPT Fuzzy method shows that grid voltage on each phase for all levels of radiation and integration generator level still stable at 308 volts and results voltage unbalance 0%. These results indicate that using both methods has not significant impact on changing of nominal unbalance voltage. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest of average voltage harmonics (THD_v) is generated on the condition of three PV generators connected to three phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and radiation levels of 800 W/m² as 4.11%. The smallest grid average voltage harmonics is generated on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400W/m² at 12.52%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 2.76%. The smallest of average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.23%. Figure 11 and 12 shows that at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average voltage harmonic will increases. Figure 13 also shows at solar radiation level is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy, then average grid voltage harmonics also will increase. The average grid voltage harmonics is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy Method. Therefore, the application of MPPT Fuzzy is able to improve grid voltage harmonics (THD_v) profile as a result of integration of PV generator to three phase grid.

Table 4 shows the use of MPPT P and O method results nominal of unbalance current for all irradiance (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1+PV2+PV3) level from 0%, 1.73%, and 15.385 %. At the same condition if using MPPT Fuzzy method produces an increase of current unbalance began to 0.1032%, 1.3940%, and 19.048%. These results indicate that the application of MPPT Fuzzy method produces unbalanced current value greater than MPPT Method P and O. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_i) generated on condition of three PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance levels of 800 W/m² at 1.93%. At the same condition, the smallest average grid current harmonics is generated on condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance level of 400 W/m² at 0.07%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_i) resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 1.68%. The smallest value of THD_i is generated on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.04%. Fuzzy MPPT method is able of improving value of input power factor better than MPPT P and O method. Table 4 shows at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of THD_i will increases. At the level of solar irradiance is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method, then value of THD_i grid also increased. THD_i is reduced grid after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Finally the application of MPPT Fuzzy is capable to enhance grid current harmonics profile due to integration of a number of PV generator to three phase grid.

4. CONCLUSION

The method of MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy on different irradiance and integration PV generator level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions of use MPPT Fuzzy method results unbalanced current is greater than MPPT P and O method. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then values of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increases. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Therefore the application of Fuzzy Logic Controller method for MPPT is able to improve the profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three-phase grid corresponding IEEE Standard 519-1992. Fuzzy MPPT method is capable of improving input power factor better than MPPT P and O method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge to Directorate of Research and Community Service, Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia, for financial support through Research Competitive Grants or "Penelitian Hibah Bersaing" (PHB) 2016 (First Year) base on Letter Number 0581/E3/2016 date 24 February 2016 (Bacth 2).

REFERENCES

- [1] Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz, *et al.*, "Transient Analysis of Grid Connected Photovoltaic System Based on Comparative Study of Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques", International Journal of Advances in Power Systems (IJAPS) ISSN: 2335-1772 Vol. 1, No.3, Desember 2013.
- [2] Mahmoud N. Ali, *et al.*, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic Power Plant Controlled By Using FLC and CR With DC-DC Boost Converter", International Journal of Scientific Reseach Engineering and Technology (JSRET) ISSN 2278-0882, Volume 3, Issue, 6 September 2014.

- [3] S. Karunambigai, *et al.*, "Power Quality Improvement of Grid Connected Solar System", Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research Vol. 74, June 2015, pp. 354-357.
- [4] Zhou Chang and Shi Tao, "Power Quality Analysis of Photovoltaic Generation Integrated in User Side Grid", International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering, Vol. 5, No.2, April 2013. DOI: 10.7763/IJCEE.2013.V5.690.
- [5] Prince Jose and Priya Rani Jos, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic System with Fuzzy Logic Control Based MPPT", International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT), ISSN: 2277-3754, Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014.
- [6] Amirullah, Ontoseno Penangsang, Adi Soeprijanto, "Power Quality Analysis of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Three Phase Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level", TELKOMNIKA, Vol.14, No.1, March 2016, pp. 29~38, ISSN: 1693-6930, DOI: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v14i1.3298.
- [7] G. Bhanu Sree and D. Sunder Singh, "Power Quality Improvement in Three Phase Grid Connected System Integrated with Photovoltaic Cells using Fuzzy Controller", International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology Research, ISSN 2319-8885, Vol. 04, Issue 48, November 2015, Pages: 10426-10432.
- [8] L. Siva Chaitanya Kumar and K. Padma, "Matlab/Simulink Based Modelling and Simulation of Residential Grid Connected Solar Photovoltaic", International Journal of Engineering Reseach and Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 3. Issue 3, March-2014.
- [9] Akash Garg, *et al.*, "Comparison of P & O and Fuzzy Logic Controller in MPPT for Photovoltaic (PV) Applications by Using MATLAB/Simulink", IOSR Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IOSR-JEEE) e-ISSN: 2278-1676,p-ISSN: 2320-3331, Volume 10, Issue 4 Ver. I (July – Aug. 2015), PP 53-62 DOI: 10.9790/1676-10415362.
- [10] Arrilaga, Jos and Watson, Neville, "Power System Harmonics", Chicester: John Willey and Sons, 2003.
- [11] Thomas M. Bloming, P.E. and Daniel J. Carnovale, P.E., "Application of IEEE Standar 519-1992 Harmonic Limits", Presented at The 2005 IEEE IAS Pulp and Paper Industry Conference in Appleton, WI.
- [12] ANSI/IEEE 241, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Systems in Commercial Buildings", 1990.
- [13] S. Parthasarathy and Dr. Rajasekaran, "Harmonic Migitation in Rectifier System Using Hybrid Power System", International Conference on Computing, Electronics, and Electrical Technologies [ICCEET], 2012.

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF AUTHORS



Amirullah was born in Sampang East Java Indonesia, in 1977. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from University of Brawijaya Malang and ITS Surabaya, in 2000 and 2008, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. He is currently working toward the doctoral degree, in electrical engineering in Power System and Simulation Laboratory (PSSL) ITS Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, power quality, harmonic distortion, design of harmonic filter/power factor correction, and renewable energy.



Agus Kiswanton was born in Malang East Java Indonesia, in 1971. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from Institut Teknologi Adhi Tama Surabaya (ITATS) and ITS Surabaya, in 1995 and 2009, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, application of artificial intelligent in power system and power distribution, as well as renewable energy in distributed generation.

Lampiran 2.3

Revisi makalah kedua
(Revisi Final)

Power Quality Enhancement of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level using MPPT-Fuzzy

Amirullah¹, Agus Kiswanto²

^{1,2}Study Program of Electrical Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 12th, 201x

Revised Aug 20th, 201x

Accepted Aug 26th, 201x

Keyword:

Photovoltaic Generator

Power Quality

Harmonics

Unbalance

Power Factor

MPPT P and O

MPPT Fuzzy

ABSTRACT

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variable solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. MPPT Fuzzy helps to generate duty cycle to control DC/DC boost converter of PV generators. This model was expected to improve power quality due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There were eight scenarios PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy and compared with MPPT P and O. The research results that application of two methods on different irradiance and PV generator integration level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions, the use of MPPT Fuzzy results unbalanced current was greater than MPPT P and O. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increase. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD was reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy. The application of MPPT Fuzzy was able to enhance profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three phase grid corresponding with IEEE Standard 519-1992. MPPT Fuzzy was capable to improve input power factor better than MPPT P and O.

Copyright © 201x Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science.

All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:

First Author,

Study Program of Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, University of Bhayangkara Surabaya

Jl. Ahmad Yani 114 Surabaya 60231, East Java Province, Indonesia

Email: amirullah@ubhara.ac.id, am9520012003@yahoo.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The output power of photovoltaic (PV) array is mainly affected by solar irradiance and temperature. The output power of PV array is a function of terminal voltage and there is only one terminal voltage value where PV panels are used efficiently. The searching procedure of voltage is called maximum power point tracking (MPPT). Several algorithms have been developed to achieve MPPT technique. These are algorithms perturb and observe (P and O), incremental conductance, open circuit voltage, short circuit current, neural network and fuzzy logic controller. PV generator required a converter for generating DC into AC power and achieve MPPT. MPPT can be achieved in one or two stages. First stage, PV array is connected to grid through a DC/AC converter and its used to obtain MPPT and generated DC voltage once. Second stages, PV array connected to grid through DC/DC and DC/AC converters. In these condition, MPPT is obtained through a DC/DC converter control PV input voltage. While PV inverter change voltage from DC/AC and keep DC/DC converter output voltage remain constant. The research of MPPT on PV development using artificial intelligence control algorithm which are neural network and fuzzy logic controller have already done [1]. The advantage of using both methods is to increase limit of stability and efficiency. Neural network controller takes time for learning process, resulting in a slower system response. Fuzzy logic control method is more popular than the neural network because it have more accurate, high performance, and maximum efficiency. Many studies in the field related to PV panel operation errors, especially at low solar irradiance and high temperature degree [2].

The problem of PV generator connected to grid, beside to functioning to supply power to low voltage distribution network, is also reducing electric power quality for example unbalance current and

voltage, current and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. It caused by tracking of MPPT which is influenced by non-linear characteristic panel depends upon varying environmental condition such as temperature and solar irradiance. Another cause is presence of converter as a medium to transform DC into AC voltage for (one stage converter) as well as DC into DC and DC into AC voltage (two stage converter). The using of multilevel inverter based shunt active power filter (SAPF) to improve power quality due to PV generator system connected to grid have been implemented [3]. The proposed method can efficiently be used for reactive power compensation and reducing source current total harmonic distortion (THD) up to 0.57%. The system is also capable of delivering active power with unity power factor to grid. The weakness of it were not discussing improvement harmonic voltage and PV generator connected to grid only one. Analysis of impact of PV generator connected to grid considering power quality (harmonic current) on the distribution already performed [4]. The results showed that injection of current harmonics generated by the customer side of the grid connected PV generation to still meet standart requirement. However the research did not discussed influence of PV integration on harmonics, fluctuations, and voltage flicker, as key parameter in power quality. Research on application of fuzzy logic as MPPT for controlling PV output voltage to achieve closed cycle control as smooth and fast in order to achieve maximum value power point of PV array have been already done [5]. PV systems both stand-alone and connected to grid has been modeled and simulated. The results showed that P-Q control scheme was able to provide closed control rapidly and PV system was able to generate a sinusoidal voltage with very small THD as 2.08%. The weakness of research is not discussing current THD improvement due to integration of PV to grid and number of used PV only a single.

Analysis of power quality due to integration of multi-unit of PV generator connected to three phase grid under variable solar irradiation level have been implemented [6]. Research shows that grid voltage and current on point common coupling (PCC) bus before use double tuned passive filter on condition only connect one plant is still unstable. However, if PV generator connected to three-phase grid, amounted to more than one generator, then grid voltage and current becomes unstable. At level of solar irradiation remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average THD of grid voltage and current increases. At level of solar irradiation increases, average THD of grid voltage and current also have increased. Avarage THD of grid voltage and current reduced after double tuned passive filter installed. Nevertheless, MPPT control of PV generator still use P and O algorithm and did not use intelligent control. Power quality improvement of PV generator connected to three phase grid using Fuzzy Logic controller under changes in atmospheric conditions and solar radiation has been done [7]. In order to keep the system stable fuzzy logic controller is used to achieve it still has a unity power factor under all conditions. Fuzzy logic controller can improve overall system stability on a three-phase grid connected PV systems in some variation of parameters. Fuzzy logic control method is capable to reduce current THD compared to proportional integral (PI) and robust control. The value of current harmonics using PI, robust, and fuzzy logic control successively reduced from 4.05%, 2.08%, up to 1.05% in order to achieve maximum power. However, number of PV generator connected to the grid is only single.

The research of improvement power quality on grid supplied by PV array using unified power quality conditioner (UPQC) has been done [8]. PV array is connected to the DC link of UPQC using PI compared with Fuzzy Logic controller. Power quality enhancement includes voltage sag and harmonic of voltage source. The simulation showed that fuzzy controller on UPQC and PV array is able to improve the quality of source voltage better than PI controller. The grid voltage using PI and Fuzzy controller results THD voltage as 7.06 and 1.36% respectively. The research does not address improvement of load current quality due to a combination UPQC and the PV array. The method of Parallel Active Power Filter (PAPF) using PV cells energy to feed linear or nonlinear loads with current perturbations compensation and the excess of the energy have been proposed [9]. As a result of using instantaneous p-q theory as a control scheme, the multi function operation such as harmonic elimination, reactive power control and uninterruptible power supply will be achieved. The system consists of PV cells, connected to a diode rectifier feeding a parallel active power filter. The simulation results prove the efficiency of using the proposed method for PV cells energy injection and power quality improvement in the grid power system.

The purposes of research is to enhance power quality on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load using fuzzy logic controller. Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. The DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter based pulse width modulation (PWM) algorithm into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer. Analysis of research includes effect of solar irradiance and integration of a number of PV generator to power quality on constant temperature and load. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic

controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT controller has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Furthermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus of low-voltage three-phase grid. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).

The rest of this paper is organized as follow. Section 2 shows proposed model of single and three PV generator system connected to three-phase grid, MPPT P and O method, MPPT Fuzzy method, unbalance voltage and current, power quality and harmonics, as well as power factor correction. Section 3 describes influence of solar irradiance and integration of PV generator to voltage and current unbalance, voltage and current THD of three phase grid, as well as input power factor on constant temperature and load using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method. In this section, example cases studied are presented and the results are verified with those of Matlab/Simulink. Finally, the paper is concluded in Section 4.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1. Research Procedure

Figure 1 shows model PV generator connected to three phase grid using MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Model of PV generator system in singular to three-phase output voltage and connected three-phase grid. DC/DC converter consists of a boost converter that functions to raise DC output voltage of PV generator. DC output voltage of boost converter circuit is then converted by the DC/AC inverter into a three-phase AC voltage to three-phase grid. Then single PV generator is modeled and used to create multi PV generator connected to grid through a three-phase distribution transformer (Figure 2). The study used three groups of PV generator model with an active power of 100 kW each. Beside that, PV generator is also connected on three groups of three phase load with active power 20 kW respectively.

The paper presents power quality enhancement on low voltage of three phase grid caused by PV generator integration under variabel solar irradiance level on constant temperature and load. Fuzzy logic controller helps to generate duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter and subsequently result in rapid convergence calculations and more stable to determine MPPT of PV generator. DC/DC converter generate a DC voltage that will be as input to DC/AC converter using PWM. This model is expected to reduce losses due to unbalance voltage and current, low voltage and current harmonics, and low input power factor. There are two scenarios PV generator connected to three-phase grid. They are using MPPT algorithm P and O and MPPT with Fuzzy Logic Controller (MPPT Fuzzy). Each MPPT control has four scenarios, then for a total has eight scenarios of PV generator integration level. Power quality aspects studied are unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on PCC bus on eight scenarios using (a) MPPT P and O (b) MPPT Fuzzy as follow four conditions respectively (i) irradiance 400 W/m², (ii) irradiance 600 W/m², (iii) irradiance 800 W/m², and (iv) irradiance 1000 W/m² on condition 1, 2, and 3 of model PV generator connected to three phase grid. Furthermore is to determine unbalance voltage and current, voltage and current THD, and power factor input on each scenarios. The final process is to validate the results of research refers ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard (voltage and current unbalanced), IEEE 519-1992 Standard (voltage and current harmonics grid), and PLN Standard (input power factor).




Figure 1. Single PV generator connected three phase grid using MPPT Fuzzy




Figure 2. Proposed model of three PV generator system connected three phase grid

Table 1 shows simulation parameters of three models PV generators connected to three phase grid.

Table 1. Simulation Parameters

Equipment	Parameters	Design Value
PV generator 1, 2, and 3	Power	100 kW
	Temperature	40° C
	Irradiance	400, 600, 800, 1000 W/m ²
Three phase grid	MVA short circuit	100 MVA
	Voltage (line)	380 volt
Three phase distribution transformer	Frequency	50 Hz
	Rated Power	100 kVA
	Rated voltage	380 Volt/20 kV
Load 1, 2, 3	Type	Two winding
	Active power	20 kW
	Voltage (line)	380 Volt
Low voltage lines 1,2, 3	Frequency	50 Hz
	Resistance	R = 0,1273 Ohm/km
	Inductance	L = 93,37 mH/km
	Capacitance	C = 1,274 μF/km
Low voltage distribution line	Line 1	1 km
	Line 2	1 km
	Line 3	1 km
DC link capacitor	Capacitance	2000 μF
PWM generator for DC/AC	Frequency	4 kHz
Inverter	Sampling time	5 x 10 ⁻⁶ second
Fuzzy inference system	Method	Mamdani
Fuzzy model	Composition	Max-Min
Input membership function	Delta voltage	gbellmf, trimf
	Delta power	gbellmf, trimf
Output membership function	Delta duty cycle	trimf

2.2. Photovoltaic Model

Figure 3 shows the equivalent circuit of a solar panel. A solar panel is composed of several PV cells that have series or parallel or series-parallel external connections [10].




Figure 3. Equivalent circuit of solar panel

The V-I characteristic of a solar panel is showed in Equation 1 [10] :

$$I = I_{PV} - I_o \left[\exp\left(\frac{V + R_s I}{aV_t}\right) - 1 \right] - \frac{V + R_s I}{R_p} \quad (1)$$

where I_{PV} is the photovoltaic current, I_o is saturated reverse current, 'a' is the ideal diode constant, $V_t = N_s K T q^{-1}$ is the thermal voltage, N_s is the number of series cells, q is the electron charge, K is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature of p-n junction, R_s and R_p are series and parallel equivalent resistance of the solar panels. I_{PV} has a linear relation with light intensity and also varies with temperature variations. I_o is dependent on temperature variations. The values of I_{pv} and I_o are calculated as following Equation 2 and 3:

$$I_{PV} = (I_{PV,n} + K_I \Delta T) \frac{G}{G_n} I \tag{2}$$

$$I_o = \frac{I_{SC,n} + K_I \Delta T}{\exp(V_{OC,n} + K_V \Delta T) / aV_t - 1} \tag{3}$$

In which $I_{PV,n}$, $I_{SC,n}$ and $V_{OC,n}$ are photovoltaic current, short circuit current and open circuit voltage in standard conditions ($T_n = 25$ C and $G_n = 1000$ Wm⁻²) respectively. K_I is the coefficient of short circuit current to temperature, $\Delta T = T - T_n$ is the temperature deviation from standard temperature, G is the light intensity and K_V is the ratio coefficient of open circuit voltage to temperature. Open circuit voltage, short circuit current and voltage–current corresponding to the maximum power are three important points of I–V characteristic of solar panel. These points are changed by variations of atmospheric conditions. By using Equation 4 and 5 which are derived from PV model equations, short circuit current and open circuit voltage can be calculated in different atmospheric conditions.

$$I_{SC} = (I_{SC} + K_I \Delta T) \frac{G}{G_n} \tag{4}$$

$$V_{OC} = V_{OC} + K_V \Delta T \tag{5}$$

2.3. MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy

The initial research is to determine value of duty cycle (D) with a variable step to control DC/DC boost converter circuit with MPPT P and O algorithm. For PV converter, maximum power available is determined by PV cell characteristics, but this value often mismatches with the maximum power point (MPP) of the load. By implementing MPPT in PV systems, MPP in PV cells can be maintained so that the number and size of PV panels can be reduced or energy yield can be optimized [11].

Due to moving of sun, which leads to change in irradiance, PV panels angle and variation of irradiance reaching the panels, energy generated from PV panels are absorbed does not constant over time. When this condition occurs, the VI characteristics changes and MPP will move. If the system was previously operating at MPP, there will most probably a power loss with the same operating point and new condition. To overcome these problems, MPPT has been developed. The system includes no moving parts (where the modules are moved to track the sun). MPPT search for the maximum power independent based on environmental conditions (following changes in solar radiation and temperature) and maintain the PV terminal voltage remains constant at maximum value. The most used method of MPPT is Perturb and Observe (P and O) that algorithm is shown in Figure 4 [12]. Figure 5 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using P and O algorithm.




Figure 4. P and O Algorithm




Figure 5. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using P and O algorithm

The next process is to perform same procedure for determining it using MPPT Fuzzy method showed in Figure 1. Fuzzy logic controller have been widely used in industrial process in the resent years due to their heuristic nature associated with simplicity, effectiveness and its multi-rule-based variable's consideration for both linear and non-linear parameter variation of the system. Fuzzy system is composed of knowledge based rules system; the main part of Fuzzy Logic controller is knowledge of base consisting of the If-Then rules. Fuzzy Logic is implemented to obtain the MPP operation voltage point faster with less overshoot and also it can minimize the voltage fluctuation after MPP has been recognized. It also is capable to enhance power quality problem for example unbalance current and voltage, current and voltage harmonics, as well as input power factor. The control objective is to track maximum power will lead consequently to effective operation of the PV panel. To design the FLC, variables which represent the dynamic performance of the system should be chosen as the input to the controller. The basic block diagram implemented in Fuzzy Logic Controller is shown in Figure 6 [12].




Figure 6. Blok diagram of Fuzzy Logic controller

Due account MPPT Fuzzy method is in terms of intelligence and speed. Fuzzy MPPT method is done by determining input variables, namely fuzzy control output power (ΔP) and output voltage (ΔV) PV generator, seven linguistic variables fuzzy sets, fuzzy operating system block (fuzzyfication, fuzzy rule base, and defuzzyfication), Function ΔP and ΔV during fuzzyfication, a table fuzzy rule base, crisp values to determine duty cycle (D) in defuzzyfication phase with variable step to control DC /DC boost converter circuit. Figure 7 shows Matlab/Simulink model for control MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller.




Figure 7. Matlab/Simulink model for MPPT using Fuzzy Logic Controller

At fuzzyfication phase shown in Figure 6, a number of input variables is calculated and converted into a linguistic variable based on the subset called membership function (MF). To translate value of voltage change and power change in, input fuzzy "change of voltage" and "change of power" is designed to use seven fuzzy variable called PB (Positive Big), PM (Positive Medium), NS (Negative Small), PS (Positive Small) ZE (Zero), NM (Negative Medium), and Negative Big (NB). Voltage change (ΔV) and power changes (ΔP) is a proposed system input variables and output variable fuzzy logic control is duty cycle change value (ΔD). Membership function changes, power changes, and duty cycle change, each shown in Figure 8 up to 10. Limit of input and output membership functions, determined by prior knowledge of parameter variations.




Figure 8. Input variable voltage change (delta voltage)




Figure 9. Input variable power change (delta power)




Figure 10. Output variable duty cycle change (delta D)

The fuzzy rule algorithm collects a number of fuzzy control rules in a specific order. This rule is used to control system to meet desired performance requirements, and they are designed from a knowledge of intelligent control systems. The fuzzy inference of fuzzy logic controller using a method that relates to a composition Mamdani Max-Min. Fuzzy inference system in fuzzy logic controller consists of three parts, namely rule base, database, and reasoning mechanism (Figure 6). Rule base consists of a number of If-Then rule for proper operation of controller. The If side of rule is called antecedent and Then side is called consequence. These rules may be regarded as similar response made by human thought processes and controllers using linguistic input variables, obtained after fuzzyfication for the operation of these rules. The database consists of all user-defined membership function to be used in a number of these rules. Reasoning mechanism basically given processing rules based on specific rules and given conditions provides us the required result.

After determine ΔV and ΔP value, that value is then converted into linguistic variables and use them as input functions for fuzzy logic controller. The output value is ΔD is generated using block inference and fuzzy logic controller rules as shown in Table 2. Finally defuzzyfication block operates to change value of ΔD is raised from linguistic variables into numeric variables back. Numeric variables that become inputs signal for the IGBT switch of DC/DC boost converter to be able to determine MPPT value for each generation PV accurately at the same time also improve power quality as a result of integration of three PV generator to low voltage three phase grid.

Table 2. Fuzzy Rules

ΔV	ΔP	NB	NM	NS	ZE	PS	PM	PB
	NB	PB	PM	PS	NS	NS	NM	NB
	NM	PM	PS	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
	NS	PS	PS	PS	NS	NS	NS	NM
	ZE	NS	NS	PS	ZE	ZE	NS	NS
	PS	NS	NS	NS	PS	PS	PS	PS
	PM	NM	NM	NS	PS	PS	PS	PS
	PB	NB	NB	NM	PS	PS	PM	PB

2.4. Harmonic

Power quality means quality of voltage and current. Quality is determined based on the voltage and current value or the tolerance limit of equipment used. In general, current and voltage wave form of pure sinusoidal waves. One problem that occurs is non sinusoidal or distorted current and voltage waves generated by harmonics in the power system [15]. Harmonic is distorted periodic steady state wave caused by the interaction between the shape of a sine wave at the fundamental frequency system with another wave component which is an integer multiples frequency of fundamental frequency. The most common harmonic index, which relates to the voltage waveform, is the total harmonic distortion (THD), which is defined as the root mean square (rms) of the harmonics expressed as a percentage of the fundamental component as showed in Equation 6. For most applications, it is sufficient to consider the harmonic range from the 2nd to 25th, but most standards specify up to the 50th [13]. Second harmonic index is current THD means the ratio of rms harmonic current value to rms fundamental current which expressed in Equation 7 [14].

$$THD_V = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^N V_n^2}}{V_1} \times 100 \% \quad (6)$$

$$THD_I = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^N I_n^2}}{I_1} \times 100 \% \quad (7)$$

where V_n and I_n (the rms voltage and current at harmonic n), V_1 and I_1 (the fundamental rms voltage and current), N (the maximum harmonic order to be considered). The allowable maximum THD value for each country is different depending on the standard used. THD standards most often used in electric power system is IEEE Standard 519-1992. There are two criteria used in the analysis of harmonic distortion that voltage distortion limit and current distortion limit [15].

2.5. Voltage and Current Unbalance

There are several standards that can be used to determine level of voltage unbalance in three-phase systems, e.g. IEC, NEMA, and IEEE. In this study, value of unbalance voltage use Equation 8 is based ANSI/IEEE 241-1990 Standard [16] as follows:

$$V(\%) = \frac{|V_{average} - V_{a,b,c \min \text{ or } \max}|}{V_{average}} \times 100 \% \quad (8)$$

By using Equation 8, value of unbalance voltage expressed in percent (%) and is defined as follows; $V_{average}$ is the average value of maximum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \min}$ is minimum voltage on phase a, b, c, (volt), $V_{a,b,c \max}$ is maximum voltage on phase a, b, c (volt). By using the same equation, then percentage of unbalance current can be calculated by replacing voltage magnitude into current magnitude.

2.6. Power Factor Correction

Power factor correction (PFC) can be obtained based on value input harmonic distortion (THD) source current. Equation 9 shows input power factor as a function of source current THD [17].

$$PF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{THD_I(\%)}{100}\right)^2}} \quad (9)$$

Power factor is input power factor and THD_I is current harmonics expressed in percent.

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Analysis of results starts from determination maximum and minimum voltage grid on each phase, unbalance voltage using Equation 8, as well as voltage THD in three phase grid on PCC bus respectively, using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy. By using the same procedure subsequently obtained unbalance current value, current THD, and input power factor using Equation 9, based on grid current THD obtained previously. Table 3 show voltage unbalance and average voltage harmonic (THD_V) grid in three model integration of PV generator and four different levels of radiation connected to three phase grid using MPPT P and O and MMPT Fuzzy. Table 4 shows unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD_I), and input power factor on PCC bus at the same condition.

Table 3. Unbalance voltage and average voltage harmonic (THD_V) grid

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Voltage (V)			Unbalance Voltage (%)	THD _V (%)			Average THD _V (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C	
MPPT P and O Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.52
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.90	0.87	0.97	0.92
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	2.68	2.58	2.63	2.63
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.78	0.73	0.65	0.72
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.13	1.22	1.39	1.25
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.71	3.62	3.54	3.63
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.94	0.86	0.84	0.88
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.60	1.50	1.54	1.55
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	4.11	4.17	4.05	4.11
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.86	0.83	0.79	0.83
		PV ₁ + PV ₂	308	308	308	0	1.45	1.49	1.59	1.51
		PV ₁ + PV ₂ + PV ₃	310	310	310	0	3.95	3.92	3.84	3.91

MPPT Fuzzy Method										
1	400	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.23
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.42	0.43	0.41
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	308	308	308	0	1.80	1.56	1.74	1.70
2	600	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.35	0.30	0.28	0.31
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.53	0.51	0.60	0.55
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.11	1.90	2.06	2.03
3	800	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.38	0.34	0.32	0.35
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.61	0.60	0.70	0.64
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.49	2.09	2.39	2.33
4	1000	PV ₁	308	308	308	0	0.52	0.48	0.44	0.48
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	308	308	308	0	0.85	0.80	0.93	0.86
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	308	308	308	0	2.79	2.80	2.68	2.76

Table 4. Unbalance current, average current harmonic (THD₁), and input power factor

No.	Irradiance Level (W/m ²)	Penetration	Maximum Current (A)			Unbalance Current (%)	THD ₁ (%)			Average THD ₁ (%)	Average PF (%)
			A	B	C		A	B	C		
MPPT P and O Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.69	9.69	9.69	0	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.24	0.24	0.34	0.28	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.01	1.27	1.69	1.66	99.986
2	600	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.35	0.24	0.39	0.33	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.24	1.48	1.83	1.85	99.983
3	800	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.44	0.31	0.40	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.28	1.56	1.93	1.94	99.982
4	1000	PV ₁	9.70	9.70	9.70	0	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.13	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.50	9.80	1.7300	0.39	0.32	0.41	0.38	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	10.0	10.0	15.385	2.31	1.61	1.85	1.93	99.982
MPPT-Fuzzy Method											
1	400	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.04	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.50	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.12	0.32	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.79	1.19	1.57	1.52	99.988
2	600	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.15	0.30	0.34	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.87	1.18	1.60	1.55	99.988
3	800	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.05	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.68	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.16	0.29	0.35	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	1.65	0.86	1.69	1.40	99.990
4	1000	PV ₁	9.68	9.69	9.70	0.1032	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂	9.60	9.40	9.70	1.3940	0.21	0.23	0.32	0.26	99.999
		PV ₁ +PV ₂ +PV ₃	12.5	9.50	9.50	19.048	2.06	1.26	1.70	1.68	99.986

Figure 11 shows grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 11. Simulation results of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration Fuzzy connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 12 shows harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 12. Harmonics spectrum of grid voltage on two models of PV generator integration connected to three phase grid under solar irradiance 1000 W/m² on PCC bus

Figure 13 shows curves of average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration and four levels of solar irradiance level connected three-phase grid on PCC bus using MPPT P and O, and MPPT Fuzzy method.




Figure 13. Average voltage harmonics (THD_v) on three models of PV generator integration under solar irradiance level from 400 to 1000 W/m²

Table 3 shows that nominal grid voltage before using MPPT P and O method on the condition only connected to single generator (PV1) and two generators (PV1 + PV2) is still stable each as 308 volt. However, if PV generator connected to three phase grid equal as three (PV1 + PV2 + PV3), then grid voltage

on bus PCC bus rises to 310 volt or 0.65%. MPPT P and O method results a nominal voltage unbalanced for all levels of radiation (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1, PV2 + PV1 and PV2+PV1+PV3) as 0%. The application of MPPT Fuzzy method shows that grid voltage on each phase for all levels of radiation and integration generator level still stable at 308 volts and results voltage unbalance 0%. These results indicate that using both methods has not significant impact on changing of nominal unbalance voltage. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest of average voltage harmonics (THD_v) is generated on the condition of three PV generators connected to three phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and radiation levels of 800 W/m² as 4.11%. The smallest grid average voltage harmonics is generated on the condition of single PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400W/m² at 0.52%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 2.76%. The smallest of average grid voltage harmonics is resulted on the condition of single PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.23%. Figure 11 and 12 shows that at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then average voltage harmonic will increases. Figure 13 also shows at solar radiation level is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy, then average grid voltage harmonics also will increase. The average grid voltage harmonics is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy Method. Therefore, the application of MPPT Fuzzy is able to improve grid voltage harmonics (THD_v) profile as a result of integration of PV generator to three phase grid.

Table 4 shows the use of MPPT P and O method results nominal of unbalance current for all irradiance (400%, 600%, 800%, 1000%) and integration (PV1+PV2+PV3) level from 0%, 1.73%, and 15.385 %. At the same condition if using MPPT Fuzzy method produces an increase of current unbalance began to 0.1032%, 1.3940%, and 19.048%. These results indicate that the application of MPPT Fuzzy method produces unbalanced current value greater than MPPT Method P and O. If using MPPT P and O method, then the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_i) generated on condition of three PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance levels of 800 W/m² at 1.94%. At the same condition, the smallest average grid current harmonics is generated on condition of single PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance level of 400 W/m² at 0.07%. If using MPPT Fuzzy method, the largest average grid current harmonics (THD_i) resulted on the condition of three PV generators connected to three-phase grid (PV1+PV2+PV3) and irradiance level of 1000 W/m² at 1.68%. The smallest value of THD_i is generated on the condition of PV generator connected to three-phase grid (PV1) and irradiance levels of 400 W/m² at 0.04%. Fuzzy MPPT method is able of improving value of input power factor better than MPPT P and O method. Table 4 shows at the level of solar irradiance remains, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then value of THD_i will increases. At the level of solar irradiance is more increasing both using MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy method, then value of THD_i grid also increased. The average grid THD_i is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Finally the application of MPPT Fuzzy is capable to enhance grid current harmonics profile due to integration of a number of PV generator to grid.

4. CONCLUSION

The method of MPPT P and O and MPPT Fuzzy on different irradiance and integration PV generator level produces unbalanced voltage value stable at 0%. At the same conditions of use MPPT Fuzzy method results unbalanced current is greater than MPPT P and O method. On solar irradiance level fixed, the greater number of PV generator connected to three-phase grid, then values of average voltage and current harmonics (THD) will increases. At the level of solar radiation increases, average grid voltage and current THD also have increased. The average grid voltage and current THD is reduced after using MPPT Fuzzy method. Therefore the application of Fuzzy Logic Controller method for MPPT is able to improve the profile of grid voltage and current THD due to integration of a number of PV generator to three-phase grid corresponding IEEE Standard 519-1992. Fuzzy MPPT method is capable of improving input power factor better than MPPT P and O method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge to Directorate of Research and Community Service, Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Republic of Indonesia, for financial support through Research Competitive Grants or "Penelitian Hibah Bersaing" (PHB) 2016 (First Year) base on Letter Number 0581/E3/2016 date 24 February 2016 (Bacth 2).

REFERENCES

- [1] Almoataz Y. Abdelaziz, Hadi M. El-Helw, Basem Abdel Hamed., "Transient Analysis of Grid Connected Photovoltaic System Based on Comparative Study of Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques", International Journal of Advances in Power Systems (IJAPS) ISSN: 2335-1772 Vol. 1, No.3, Desember 2013.

- [2] Mahmoud N. Ali, Mohammed F. El.-Gohary, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic Power Plant Controlled By Using FLC and CR With DC-DC Boost Converter", International Journal of Scientific Reseach Engineering and Technology (IJSRET) ISSN 2278-0882, Volume 3, Issue, 6 September 2014.
- [3] S. Karunambigai, K Geetha, H.A. Shabeer, "Power Quality Improvement of Grid Connected Solar System", Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research Vol. 74, June 2015, pp. 354-357.
- [4] Zhou Chang and Shi Tao, "Power Quality Analysis of Photovoltaic Generation Integrated in User Side Grid", International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering, Vol. 5, No.2, April 2013. DOI: 10.7763/IJCEE.2013.V5.690.
- [5] Prince Jose and Priya Rani Jos, "Grid Connected Photovoltaic System with Fuzzy Logic Control Based MPPT", International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT), ISSN: 2277-3754, Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014.
- [6] Amirullah, Ontoseno Penangsang, Adi Soeprijanto, "Power Quality Analysis of Integration Photovoltaic Generator to Three Phase Grid under Variable Solar Irradiance Level", TELKOMNIKA, Vol.14, No.1, March 2016, pp. 29~38, ISSN: 1693-6930, DOI: 10.12928/TELKOMNIKA.v14i1.3298.
- [7] G. Bhanu Sree and D. Sunder Singh, "Power Quality Improvement in Three Phase Grid Connected System Integrated with Photovoltaic Cells using Fuzzy Controller", International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology Research, ISSN 2319-8885, Vol. 04, Issue 48, November 2015, Pages: 10426-10432.
- [8] K.Ramalingeswara Rao, K.S. Srikanth, "Improvement of Power Quality using Fuzzy Logic Controller In Grid Connected Photovoltaic Cell Using UPQC", International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems (IJPEDS) Vol 5 No 1, 2014 pages 101-111.
- [9] Brahim Berbaoui, Samira Dib, Rachid Dehini, "Grid connected Photovoltaic Power Systems and Power Quality Improvement Based on Active Power Filter", TELKOMNIKA Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol 12 No 8, 2014 pages 5861-5868.
- [10] Ali Reza Reisi, Muhammad H. Moradi, Hemen Showkati, "Combined Photovoltaic and Unified Power Quality Controller to Improve Power Quality, Solar Energy 88 (2013) 154-162, Available Online 3 January 2013, www.elsevier.com/locate/solener and www.sciencedirect.com.
- [11] L. Siva Chaitanya Kumar and K. Padma, "Matlab/Simulink Based Modelling and Simulation of Residential Grid Connected Solar Photovoltaic", International Journal of Engineering Reseach and Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 3. Issue 3, March-2014.
- [12] Akash Garg, R. Saida Nayak, Susma Gupta, "Comparison of P & O and Fuzzy Logic Controller in MPPT for Photovoltaic (PV) Applications by Using MATLAB/Simulink", IOSR Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IOSR-JEEE) e-ISSN: 2278-1676,p-ISSN: 2320-3331, Volume 10, Issue 4 Ver. I (July-Aug. 2015), PP 53-62 DOI: 10.9790/1676-10415362.
- [13] J. Arrillaga, N.R. Watson, "Power System Harmonics", Second Edition, John Willey and Sons, Ltd, 2003.
- [14] Tony Hoevenaar, P.Eng, Kurt LeDoux, P.E., Matt Colosino, 2003, "Interpreting IEEE Standart 519 and Meeting Its Harmonic Limit in VFD Application", Copyright Material IEEE Paper No. PCIC-2003-15.May, 2003.
- [15] Thomas M. Bloming, P.E. and Daniel J. Carnovale, P.E., "Application of IEEE Standar 519-1992 Harmonic Limits", Presented at The 2005 IEEE IAS Pulp and Paper Industry Conference Appleton, WI.
- [16] ANSI/IEEE 241, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Electric Power Systems in Commercial Buildings", 1990.
- [17] S. Parthasarathy and Dr. Rajasekaran, "Harmonic Migitation in Rectifier System Using Hybrid Power System", International Conference on Computing, Electronics, and Electrical Technologies [ICCEET], 2012.

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF AUTHORS



Amirullah was born in Sampang East Java Indonesia, in 1977. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from University of Brawijaya Malang and ITS Surabaya, in 2000 and 2008, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. He is currently working toward the doctoral degree, in electrical engineering in Power System and Simulation Laboratory (PSSL) ITS Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, power quality, harmonic distortion, design of harmonic filter/power factor correction, and renewable energy.



Agus Kiswanton was born in Malang East Java Indonesia, in 1971. He received bachelor and master degree in electrical engineering from Institut Teknologi Adhi Tama Surabaya (ITATS) and ITS Surabaya, in 1995 and 2009, respectively. He also worked as a lecturer in University of Bhayangkara Surabaya. His research interest includes power system modeling and simulation, application of artificial intelligent in power system and power distribution, as well as renewable energy in distributed generation.

Lampiran 2.4

Bukti pembayaran makalah

bukti setoran tunai
cash deposit receipt

mandiri

14140 1414051 31.14 10/11/2016 12:05:17 RM 2111
CASH IDR 5,019,245.00 DR
137-00-0324770-3 TOILE SUTIRNO IDR 5,019,245.00 CR
1,0000000 1,0000000
B MUKALAH VOL 6 NO 6 JURNAL JUJUE DES 16
TANGGAL EFEKTIF 10/11/2016

()
Teller

PT BANK MANDIRI (PERSERO) Tbk.
KCP. GRAHA PENA
Tgl. 10 NOV 2016
Nur Aini Kandarisa
Teller

(AMIFULIAHIS)
Pemohon applicant

Dengan ditandatangani resi ini maka pemohon setuju atas data transaksi yang tertera pada resi dan membebaskan Bank Mandiri serta pegawainya atas tuntutan berupa apapun daripihak manapun juga

By signing on this receipt, applicant agrees of the transaction data stated on the receipt and releases Bank Mandiri and its employees from any forms by any parties.

BPRBST 05/13
Lembar 2=Copy

2/2

001

	385.	x
	13,037.	=
	5,019,245.	+
	5,019,245.	*