APPLICATION ON PROCEDURAL JUSTICE VIS A VIS SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

By Jonaedi Effendi



Volume 17 No. 2 (Seot) 2024: pp. 46-61 Faculty of Law, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang Indonesia

Indonesia
https://arenahukum.ub.ac.id/index.php/arena



e-ISSN:2527-4406

APPLICATION ON PROCEDURAL JUSTICE VIS A VIS SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

Jonaedi Efendi

Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya Jl. Ahmad Yani No.114, Ketintang, Kec. Gayungan, Surabaya Email: jonaediefendi@ubhara.ac.id

Submitted: 10/1/2024, Reviewed: 24/3/2023, Accepted: 17/7/2023

Abstract

This study exaggines the application of procedural and substantive justice in law enforcement. The research method used in this study is mixed, normative, and sociological legal research. Normative legal research uses a conceptual approach and a case approach, walle sociological research uses a socio-legal approach because the concept of law enforcement will be analyzed from the perspective of legal culture. The research discussion indicates that the application of procedural justice vis a vis substantive justice in law enforcement still needs to be simultaneously implemented; in certain circumstances, procedural justice is better, while in other circumstances, substantive justice is better. Procedural justice is closely related to the propriety and transparency of the decision-making process. Meanwhile, substantive justice focuses on internal aspects, which contain elements in the law about "trut22 and "guilt." However, both justices need to remain grounded in existing legal norms. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that there has been a discourse on the application of procedural justice vis a vis substantive justice in law enforcement. The author divides this discourse into three stages, namely the investigation stage, the prosecution stage, and the decision stage.

Key words: Procedural justice vis a vis substantive justice, Law Enforcement, Judge's decision

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan ugik mengkaji penerapan keadilan prosedural dan keadilan substantif dalam penegakan hukum. Metode penelitian yang digunakan dalam artikel ini adalah mix method 40 kni penelitian hukum normatif dan sosiologis. Penelitian hukum normatif menggunakan pendekatan konseptual (conceptual approach) dan pendekatan kasus (case approach), sedangkan penelitian hukum sosiologis menggunakan pendekatan socio legal karena konsep penegakan hukum ini akan dianalisis dari perspektif budaya hukum. Pembahasan penelitian menunjukkan bahwa penerapan keadilan prosedural vis a vis keadilan substantif dalam ranah penegakan hukum seringkali tidak dapat berjalan secara simultan, dalam keadaan tertentu keadilan procedural lebih diutamakan, namun dalam keadaan yang lain keadilan substantif lebih didahulukan. Keadilan prosedural berkaitan erat dengan kepatutan dan transparansi proses pengambilan keputusan. Sedangkan keadilan substantif menitikberatkan pada aspek internal, yang mengandung unsurunsur dalam hukum tentang "kebenaran" dan "kesalahan". Meskipun demikian keduanya harus tetap berpijak pada norma hukum yang ada. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian ini dapat disimpulkan bahwa telah terjadi diskursus penerapan keadilan prose 23 ral vis a vis keadilan substantif dalam penegakan hukum. Diskursus ini penulis bagi menjadi tiga tahap, yaitu tahap penyidikan, tahap penuntutan, dan tahap putusan.

Kata kunci: Keadilan prosedural vis a vis keadilan substantif, Penegakan Hukum, Putusan Hakim

Background

Law enforcement is one of the benchmarks for the success on the rule of law.1 Law enforcement related to the ability to comprehend, interpret, and enforce laws as a part of an active state legal system. The value of law and the accomplishment of justice in community also related to the law enforcement. A legal system that serves interests of community, country, and state cannot be isolated from the process of law enforcement. Besides, it is underlined that humans are not created by the law. It is an activity to implement law according to legal rules or norms against any violation or legal deviation. Law enforcement essentially boils down to justice and the institution that appointed to carry out justice is court, since the legal revolution that gave establish modern law role and function of judiciary has changed, the procedures and administration of law have also fundamentally changed.²

Law enforcement as a country's main instrument and indicator can be referred as state law. Reflection on law enforcement at the end of 2021 experienced many dynamics against controversial cases. These have become public spotlight and massive public attention. The community response on law enforcement should become attention and

'warning' because ideally, the development of law should be related to the development of society. it is also in line with progressive law which stated that law exist for society not society exist for law.³

Law enforcement process cannot be separated from judiciary as an institution which include as a part of judiciary law enforcement. As the last way of seeking justice, the court needs improvements, both from administrative and judicial systems. Because, in reality, courts are institutions that feared by society. People are afraid of the justice, as according to the public view, justice has an image of media to judge people. Moreover, justice becomes a gathering place for legal mafia who are willing to pawn the law for personal satisfaction. The grim portrait of law in Indonesia is heading to its lowest point. Law enforcement officers, as the law's main pillar, play a major role in the 'legal mafia'. The decreasing public trust in law enforcement institutions in Indonesia 2021 can be illustrate in the figure below:

¹ Maria Silvya E. Wangga, R. Bondan Agung Kardono, and Aditya Wirawan, "Penegakan Hukum Korupsi Politik," *Kanun Jurnal Ilmu Hukum* 21, no. 1 (May 2019), pp. 39–60,

https://doi.org/10.24815/kanun.v21i1.12862.

² Siti Merida Hutagalung, "Penegakan Hukum Di Indonesia: Apakah Indonesia Negara Hukum?," *Sociae Polites*, October 2017, pp. 109–26, https://doi.org/10.33541/sp.v1i1.465.

³ Liky Faizal, "Problematika Hukum Progresif Di Indonesia," *Ijtima'iyya: Jurnal Pengembangan Masyarakat Islam* 9, no. 2 (2016), https://doi.org/10.24042/ijpmi.v9i2.947.

Figure 1. Condition of Law Enforcement in Indonesia National Survey of Indonesia in 2021



Source: National Survey 2021

Based on the Indonesian national survey above, it shows that respondents who states that Indonesian law enforcement is outstanding at 2.7%. Enforcement parameters Indonesian law is in a good predicate based on the survey results of 49.5%. A predicate with poor rank has a result at 42.8%. However, there is a lowest result on 4.5%. Data above must be an evaluation for law enforcement and law government in the future that continue to provide guarantees of certainty, benefit, and justice related to the legal ideals.

Based on the rule of law index released by the World Justice Project (WJP) 2021, Indonesia, on a global scale, is ranked at 68th. There is a decrease compared to last year, on 2020 Indonesia ranked at 59th. Furthermore, compared to other Southeast Asian countries, Indonesia is far below Singapore, which occupies 17, and Malaysia at 54. Eight factors that affect WJP regarding

the rule of law index, 1) Constraints of Corruption, 2) Absence of Corruption, 3) Open Government, 4) Fundamental Rights, 5) Regulatory Enforcement, 6) Order and Security, 7) Civil Justice, and 8) Criminal Justice. In principle, Law enforcement process discuss procedural matters or the process of resolving cases (as stated in procedural law or formal law) as a guide in carrying out law enforcement process. Besides, it discusses the rules of substantive law (as contained in material law) as a reference to determine what actions can be punished.

The concept of procedural and substantive justice is a very similar concept important for law enforcement that needs to be enforced to ensure legal certainty and justice for society because it discusses material law and formal law, which needs to go hand in hand. Thus, it is very interesting to discuss the applying concepts of procedural and substantive justice in law enforcement through a legal sociology approach. Applying procedural and substantive justice in law enforcement, it usually affects the judge's decision, where the judge's decision is a legal culture that needs to be adhered by the judge in handling a case.

Research by David Lewis Schaefer discussed about procedural justice versus substantive justice set by John Rawls and Robert Nozik. This research concluded that the areas of agreement between Rawls and Nozick are more significant than their disagreements.

Another research on substantive justice of

procedural justice that was done by Boella and der Torre explained that the social order established by regulative norms, such as obligations and permissions, and constitutive norms, such as counts-as obligations, is what procedural norms seek to achieve. Legislators, attorneys, and police officers are among the legal practitioners who procedural norms are addressed to.⁴

Meanwhile Simanjuntak on his research stated about Procedural Justice and Substantive Justice based on Constitutional Court Decision Number: 91/Puu/Xviii/2020⁵, it is said that the formal condition is procedural justice while the strategic objective is substantive justice.

Based on the explanation and the research that has been done before which conclude that there is no study discussed about the concept of procedural justice and substantive justice in law enforcement yet. Thus, this research aims to Examine how procedural and substantive justice are applied in court decisions from the standpoint of legal culture.

This research uses normative and sociological legal research methods. This normative legal research method uses a

conceptual approach, namely an approach that refers to views or doctrines that develop in law and uses a case approach, by examining cases related to the legal issue at hand and has become a court decision that has permanent legal force ⁶. Meanwhile, the sociological legal research method used to see the application of law by judges in ensuring justice, which is obtained when the author provides expert testimony in court. The researcher uses a mixed method because the research problem will be analyzed from a legal culture perspective.

The technique of collecting materials was indept interview. Meanwhile, as for the determination of samples and respondents who have been selected based on certain criteria. The object of this research is the East Jawa Police Discrimination Investigator, Prosecutors at the Tanjung Perak District Attorney's Office, and Judges at the Surabaya District Court.

Based on the description above, this study examines the application of procedural and substantive justice in legal decisions. It is based on the perspective of legal culture, and in the realm of law enforcement where this research differs from the previous research discussed only procedural justice or substantive justice. Moreover, no scientific research discusses it from the perspective of legal culture.

⁴ Guido Boella and Leendert van der Torre,

[&]quot;Substantive and Procedural Norms in Normative Multiagent Systems," *Journal of Applied Logic* 6, no. 2 (June 2008), pp. 152–71,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jal.2007.06.006.

⁵ Samuel Hamonangan Simanjuntak and Lita Tyesta A.L.W., "Procedural Justice or Substantive Justice: Review of Constitutional Court Decision Number: 91/Puu/Xviii/2020," *Jurnal Ilmiah Kebijakan Hukum* 16, no. 2 (July 2022), p. 341, https://doi.org/10.30641/kebijakan.2022.V16.341-362.

⁶ Jonaedi Efendi, *Metode Penelitian Hukum: Normatif Dan Empiris*, 5th ed. (Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group, 2022).

⁷ David Lewis Schaefer, "Procedural Versus Substantive Justice: Rawls And Nozick," *Social Philosophy and Policy* 24, no. 1 (January 2007): 164–86, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265052507070070.

Discussion

A. Concept of Procedural Justice Vis a Vis Substantive Justice

The process of law enforcement is different from the application of rules. People comes to court is not about finding who wins and who loses, yet to seek justice for legal issues concerning their rights. Justice is a feeling that you want to be manifested in law enforcement process.

Meanwhile, law enforcement itself is the implementation of law-by-law enforcement officers and by everyone who has their respective authorities according to the applicable laws.

The condition of Law Enforcement in Indonesia is still formalistic and adheres to the existence of laws, where legal certainty is the front line compared to substantive justice. Thus, justice is hardly felt by the public.⁸

Lawrence M. Friedman argues that whether or not the enforcement of a law is effective to achieve justice can be influenced by 3 elements, namely:

First, Legal Structure. The authority possessed by law enforcement agencies has been guaranteed by law, therefore in carrying out its duties and obligations, it is independent off the influence of government power and intervention from other powers.

Second, Legal Substances. The legal substance is a product produced in the legal system, which includes decisions and rules that have been formed. This legal substance also includes laws that live in a society (Civil Law). Therefore, it is not only in form of laws and regulations.

Third, Legal Culture. Legal Culture is a human attitude towards law and the legal system, beliefs, values, thoughts, expectations. Legal Culture can be interpreted as an atmosphere of social thought and social forces that determine how the law is applied, whether it should be used avoided, or abused. Therefore, legal Culture is closely related to public legal awareness. The higher public awareness, the better mindset of the community in understanding the law. Thus, justice is a goal of the law that can be realized, especially its application in the trial process in court.9

Article 24, paragraph (1) of the 1945 Constitution stated, "Judicial power is an independent power to administering the judiciary in order to uphold law and justice." It means our constitution wants to enforce the law and justice because enforcing it has yet to uphold justice certainly. Hence, to reflect certainty, a legal product must also provide values of justice in formulating legislation.

Law and justice are two things that are interrelated like two sides of a coin that cannot be separated. The law aims to realize justice.

⁸ Siti Kasiyati, "Law Enforcement in Indonesia in Perspective of Transcendental Legal Justice Paradigm," *Journal of Transcendental Law* 2, no. 2 (December 15, 2020): 100–114,

https://doi.org/10.23917/jtl.v2i2.11855.

⁹ Lawrence M. Friedman, Sistem Hukum Perspektif Ilmu

Fairness without law is meaningless. To get justice, justice seekers must go through procedures which is unfair. The law becomes scary for the community. The law does not exist to make society happy but instead to make it miserable. The law often considered not successful in providing justice to society. The rule of law has been announced only as a sign without meaning. Legal texts are just a language game that tends to deceive and disappoint. In the context of law enforcement, procedural and substantive justice important concepts. To better understand the two concepts, they can be looked at the definitions. The notion of procedural justice stated as:

> "Refers to fairness in the processes that resolve disputes and allocate resources. One aspect of procedural justice is related to the administration of justice and legal proceedings discussion. This sense of procedural justice is connected to due process (U.S.), fundamental justice (Canada), procedural fairness (Australia), and natural justice (other Common law jurisdictions). However, procedural justice can also be applied to nonlegal contexts where some process is employed to resolve conflict or divide benefits or burdens. Procedural justice concerns the fairness and the transparency of the processes by

which decisions are made. It may be contrasted with distributive justice [11] (fairness in the distribution of rights or resources), and retributive justice (fairness in rectifying wrongs). Hearing all parties before a decision is made is one step that would be considered appropriate to be taken. Thus, a process may be characterized as procedurally fair. Some theories of procedural justice hold fair procedure that leads to equitable outcomes, even if the requirements of distributive or corrective justice are not met. 10

The definition above can simply be defined that procedural justice is based on the idea or notion of justice in the process of resolving cases and allocating resources. One aspect of procedural justice relates to discussing how to provide justice in the legal process. The meaning of procedural justice can be associated with proper judicial processes, which generally occur in the United States; other names are fundamental justice in Canada, procedural justice (Australia), and natural justice (other Common Law countries). However, this notion of procedural justice can also be applied to non-legal contexts where processes are used to resolve conflicts or to share benefits or burdens.

Based on the definition above, procedural

¹⁰ Amitabh Mehta, Organisation Development: Principles, Process & Performance (New Delhi: Global

justice is closely related to the propriety and transparency of decision-making processes. The concept of procedural justice can be distinguished from concepts the of distributive justice (justice in the distribution of rights or resources) and retributive justice (justice in correcting mistakes). One step before making a decision is listening to every parties. This step is considered appropriate to be taken, thus a process can be considered procedurally fair. Several theories of procedural justice hold a fair procedure will lead to a fair result, even if the conditions for distributive justice or corrective justice are not met.

An evidence-based approach, also known as procedural justice, is consistently linked to higher compliance and greater satisfaction with decisions made by authorities. National judicial organizations are increasingly emphasizing the need to encourage procedural fairness. Procedural justice views just procedures as being a result of how well people and authority will get along.¹¹

This is in contrast to substantive justice. Substantive justice interpreted as "Justice fairly administered based on the rules of substantive law, regardless of any procedural errors not affecting the litigant's substantive rights." Substantive justice may derive from

the common law, statutes, or a constitution. For example, a claim to recover for breach of contract or negligence or fraud would be a common law of substantive right.¹² procedural justice tried to meet the demands of substantive justice coveted by society.¹³

The definition above can be understood as substantive justice or justice provided based on the rules of substantive law, without regard to procedural errors that do not affect the substantive rights of the Plaintiff/Petitioner.

Substantive justice is often expressed in terms of various ways in contemporary field.¹⁴ First, it means as the regulation order of public relations. The law has the nature and character to regulate behavior according to the existing order. Second, it is as means of realizing social justice. In this case, the law is expected to provide justice, and able to punish someone. Third, the function of law is as to build a development. In this case, the law as a tool to bring people to more forward direction. ¹⁵ Fourth, it is as the critical legal function. In this case, legal power is not solely supervising the

India Publications, 2009).

¹¹ Christian Schnaudt, Caroline Hahn, and Elias Heppner, "Distributive and Procedural Justice and Political Trust in Europe," *Frontiers in Political Science* 3 (May 2021), https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2021.642232.

Wex Definitions Team, "Substantive Law," Cornell Law School, 2021,

https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/substantive_law#:~:text =Law which governs the original,a common law substantive right.

Ade Mahmud et al., "Keadilan Substantif Dalam Proses Asset Recovery Hasil Tindak Pidana Korupsi," *Jurnal Suara Hukum* 3, no. 2 (2021),

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26740/jsh.v3n2.p 227-250.

¹⁴ R. Soeroso, *Pengantar Ilmu Hukum* (Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, 2004).

¹⁵ Nazaruddin Lathif, "Teori Hukum Sebagai Sarana Alat Untuk Memperbaharui Atau Merekayasa Masyarakat," Palar | Pakuan Law Review 3, no. 1 (January 2017), https://doi.org/10.33751/palar.v3i1.402.

government apparatus includes as law enforcement officials.

Procedural law is a mechanism by which people can choose law or legal institutions used to resolve disputes based on state and applicable community regulations. Meanwhile, Lawrence Freidman mentions five functions of the legal system; a control system, the legal system is concerned with behavior that has control; the function of law as a dispute settlement; the legal system is a conflict and a dispute resolution agent; the redistribution function or social engineering function, directs the use of law to bring social change determined by the government; the law functions as social maintenance; the law functions to supervise the regulation.¹⁶

Regarding law substantively and procedurally, these two things cannot be separated from the concept of law and justice as the main goals of law. For instance, John Rawls is well known with the theory of procedural justice, because justice understood as the result of agreement through certain procedures. At this level, Rawls goes through the procedure of selecting the principles of justice based on the principles of must equality and freedom. Justice substantively refer to measure of equality and freedom, namely:

First, the principle of the greatest equal liberty which stated that "Each person is to

 \overline{h} ave an equal right to the most extensive total system of equal basic liberties compatible with a similar system of liberty for all" According to this principle, everyone has the same rights over the entire system that is composed of liberties and compatible with those liberties.¹⁷Second, equality of opportunity. The core principle of equality of opportunity refers to those who have the opportunity to achieve the prospect of welfare, income and authority. To create equality, the difference principle is needed, social and economic differences must be managed to provide the greatest benefit for those who have bad fate.

difference between substantive Basic justice and procedural justice lies in: question explanation. Substantive justice answers the question of "What?" substantive justice defines which behavior is criminal and what prosecutor has to prove to punish the accused. Meanwhile, Procedural Justice answers the question of "How?" procedural law discusses how the investigation process and how prosecutors can prove the substantive elements of the case.¹⁸ Substantive Justice is part of Procedural Justice. Shortly, substantive justice concerns substance, while procedural justice concerns procedures.¹⁹ Moreover, Substantive Justice is

¹⁶ Lawrence Friedman, American Law an Introduction, ed. Wishnu Basuki (Jakarta: Tata Nusa, 2001).

¹⁷ John Rawls, A Theory of Justice (London: Oxford University Press, 1973).

¹⁸ Daniel A. Nolan, "Substantive versus Procedural Criminal Law," in *The Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 2013), pp. 1–5,

https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118517383.wbeccj467.

¹⁹ Kenneth M. Ehrenberg, "Procedural Justice and Information in Conflict-Resolving Institutions," *Albany*

an internal aspect law, the elements contained in law are representations of the "truth" and "error". Procedural Justice is an external aspect of law. Procedural justice can be realized if substantive justice has been achieved.²⁰

Moreover, Substantive Justice is an internal aspect law, the elements contained in the law are representations of the "truth" and "error". Procedural Justice is an external aspect of law. Procedural justice can be realized if the substantive justice has been achieved. As for example, it can be seen in the decision of Yogyakarta District Court Number 74/PDT.G/2009/PNYK on Civil cases in its elaboration of parameters of substantive justice and procedural justice as following below:

Table 1. Parameters of Substantive and Procedural Justice²¹

r rocedural Justice			
Substantive Justice	Procedural		
	Justice		
Basic assumptions:	Basic		
Substantive justice	assumptions:		
is justice related to	• Procedural		
the judge's decision	justice is related		
in examining,	to the legal		
adjudicating, and	rights protection		

Law Review 67 (2004), pp. 167-209.

deciding a case that must be made based on considerations of rationality, honesty, objectivity, impartiality, without discrimination and based on conscience (the judge's belief).

Measurement
results:
If the measurement
result is positive,
then it is
considered to meet
substantive justice,
otherwise if the
measurement result
is negative, there is
no substantive
justice

of the plaintiffs/defend ants/interested parties) in every stage of the proceedings in court.

 Measurement results: If the measurement result is positive, then it considered that there procedural justice, otherwise if the measurement result is negative, then there no procedural justice

	The E	xplanatio	on	The Explanation
1.	Do	judges	use	1. Does the judge's
	jurisp	rudence	as a	decision contain
	basis		for	things that must
	judgn	nent?		be included in a
2.	Do	judges	use	court decision as
	legal	sources	in	stipulated in
	form	of doctrin	ne as	Article 2
	a	basis	for	paragraph (1) of

²⁰ JM. Muslimin and Yulia Fatma, "The Actualization of Justice in the Settlement of Joint Assets Due to Divorce: Comparative Analysis of Decisions of the Religious Courts," *Journal de Jure* 12, no. 2 (December 2020), pp. 176–90, https://doi.org/10.18860/j-fsh.v12i2.9064.

²¹ Adopted from Term of Reference The research of Judicial committee Judge's decision RI 2012, with simplification as needed based on the theories of procedural and substantive justice.

consideration? 3. Do the judge's decision use sources in form of legal values that live in society, in form of customary law, local law, and/or custom? 4. Is the judge's decision logical conclusion related to facts and law? 5. Is the conclusion in judge's decision coherent and systematic, supported considerations of facts and law, therefore no conclusions are forced? 6. In determining the

decision,

identified

consideration

non-juridical

(psychological,

educational,

environmental.

social, economic,

factors

has

it

any

of

- Law number 48/2009 and Article 184 HIR/195 RBG? 2. Does the judge's decision content need to include in court decision as stipulated in Article paragraph (1) of Law no. 48 of 2009 and Article 184 HIR/195 RBG? 3. Is the application of 1aw evidence related the to
- agreement/law, doctrine and/or jurisprudence? 4. Has the judge
- included proportionally the arguments of plaintiff and defendant on judges considerations?

religious)?

Source: The Decision of Yogyakarta District Court Number 74/PDT.G/2009/PNYK

The decision of Yogyakarta District Court Number 74/PDT.G/2009/PNYK already reflects procedural justice, because all the parameters set have been met by the panel of judges.

Application of Procedural В. Justice Via a Vis Substantive Justice in the Context of Enforcement

In the perspective of national law, law and justice cannot be separated from the cultural of Indonesia. A nation that is ideologically based on the philosophy of Pancasila. Sudjito asserts that a national law will favor justice if it is supported by holistic law that refers to Pancasila. Law enforcement practices in Indonesia always use a formal/procedural approach, it means that law enforcement officers always look at cases from the point of view of process, the program or the text contained in legal norms of the article. It tends to be more prioritize law enforcement with procedural justice, not substantive justice. For example, the performance of the police is increasingly questioned when there is one sufficient case that seized the public's attention, there is an example of a case as a wife who was

sued for scolding her husband who likes to get drunk on the basis of psychological domestic violence. It is supposed to bring in the level of investigation (early stage). The police can assess objectively, not prioritizing procedural justice thus ignoring substantive justice. However, after this case went viral and caused a commotion, the husband was released. Hence, a narrative and stigma emerged first viral on social media, then there will be action. There was indeed a discourse between Procedural Justice and Substantive Justice in the Context of Law Enforcement.

The application of Procedural Justice Vis a Vis Substantive Justice in law enforcement can first be seen from the division of public law and private law. Criminal law is a part of public law that aims to examine criminal cases. It is known as material truth. The author divided the implementation of Procedural Justice Vis a Vis Substantive Justice into three stages: the investigation stage, the prosecution stage, and the persecution. All of these stages reflect that there is a discourse between them. Although it must be remembered that the two concepts, both procedural justice and substantive justice, remain based on the existing legal norms.

First, at the investigation stage, The Head of the Criminal Investigation Department, Komjen Agus Andrianto, said: From 2021 to March 2022, the Indonesian National Police have completed 15,039 with Restorative

Justice. Agus said the number increased by 28.3 percent compared to the previous period. Restorative Justice has been applied to 1,052 Polsek in 343. The police are no longer conducting the investigation process. Restorative Justice has become a priority of the police in resolving cases. POLRI must position itself as an institution that provides a sense of Justice to the community. The explanation above is as stated in Article of Perpol 8/2021. The regulation states that the cases that can be resolved through Restorative Justice must meet material requirements because Restorative Justice is an alternative to the conventional, punitive approach to discipline.²² As for crimes that cannot be resolved by Restorative Justice, such as terrorism, crimes against national security, corruption, and cases that endanger people's lives, and do not cause anxiety or rejection from society, has no impact on social conflict, has no potential division, not a case of radicalism and separatism and not behavior that repeat based on the Court's decision.²³

POLRES Satreskrim Trenggalek Police deal with cases of Violence in the household (domestic Violence) through restorative justice. The complainant agreed to make peace and withdraw the report to the police. Head of the Trenggalek Police Criminal Investigation Unit,

Jo Lauren Weaver and Jacqueline M. Swank, "A Case Study of the Implementation of Restorative Justice in a Middle School," *RMLE Online* 43, no. 4 (April 2020), pp. 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1080/19404476.2020.1733912.
 Setyo Aji Harjanto, "Polisi Selesaikan 15.039 Perkara Dengan Pendekatan Restorative Justice," Bisnis.com, 2022.

AKP Arief Rizky Wicaksana said, the termination of the investigation was carried out on cases of violence by SYO (48) against his own wife BOH (49), a resident of Munjungan District, Trenggalek. This Regulation of the problem based on the Police Republic Indonesia Regulation Number 8/2021 concerning on the Handling of Crimes Based on Restorative Justice, also Circular Letter of the Chief Police Number SE/7/VII/2018 concerning the Termination of Investigation.

Based on the data above, relevant to the empirical reality that researchers encountered when researchers provided expert testimony at the investigation level, there was a investigators' polarization of thoughts regarding the use of articles or applications in concrete cases. This polarization reflects 2 (two) paradigms of the justice model to be applied, whether the investigator will follow procedures existing see the substantiality of the case.

This polarization is divided into 2 (two) terms. First, investigators will follow the procedures established in the investigation process. For example, investigators will apply material or formal law to a case without considering the substance of the case itself. A concrete example is a report of persecution.

In this report, the investigator will apply Article 351 of the Criminal Code without considering the consequences of the persecution itself. Second, the investigator

looks more at the substance of the reported criminal act. This pattern makes an impact on the investigation process.

For example, if the reported act did not cause severe suffering or loss to the victim. Then the investigator will try to make peace efforts by applying the concept of Restorative Justice. These two polarizations clearly describe the different patterns for investigators to provide justice for the community. Based on the in-depth interview result with one of the investigators in the East Java Police Discrimum, the following findings obtained:

- Basically the police (investigators) are always guided by the Laws and Regulations, starting from the Criminal Procedure Code, Police Regulations and Technical Guidelines. Discretion can be exercised by the investigator if the perpetrator's actions, for example in a minor theft case with a small loss where the perpetrator is very forced to commit his actions to support his family, then the investigator can resolve the case by means of restorative justice.
- In handling cases, Investigators are always and obliged to pay attention to the actions committed by the perpetrator before determining the perpetrator as a suspect, a case title is carried out first.
- 3. To provide a sense of justice for the community, the investigator, in addition to being able to reveal the perpetrator, for example a motorized vehicle theft case, is

also expected to be able to successfully confiscate and return evidence to the victim and process the perpetrator until the court session. For this reason, at every stage of the investigation, investigators are required to provide SP2HP to the victim.

4. The legal process reported by the reporter / victim against the perpetrator, of course, must be carried out according to the procedure and the transparency of the investigator is highly expected by the community in order to restore public confidence in the Police (Investigator), and we ourselves have the principle of enforcing the law with our sincere.

Second. at the prosecution Happened as the Attorney General's Office (AGO) terminate prosecution based on restorative justice for 6 cases crimes such as domestic violence to theff. The case was terminated due to peace between the victim and the suspect. The termination was carried out based on a joint case title with the AGO. The case was held online and attended by Jampidum Fadil Zumhana, Director of Crimes Against People and Property Agnes Triani, Coordinator to the Deputy Attorney General for General Crimes, the Head of the High Prosecutor's Office, the Head of The District Attorney who submitted application for restorative justice as well as the Head of Sub-Directorate and Head of Section Areas in the Directorate of TP

Oharda. There are 6 (six) case files which prosecution was terminated on the basis of restorative justice, such as: The suspect Theodorus Gregorius Manteiro alias Sinyo Bantul District Attorney who from the suspected of violating Article 44 paragraph (1) of the Republic of Indonesia Law No. 23 of concerning Elimination Domestic violence, Suspect Budi from the Pesisir Selatan District Attorney who is suspected of violating Article 480 paragraph (1) of the Criminal Code regarding the detention, The suspect Andika Yance from the Bukit Tinggi District Attorney who is suspected of violating Article 44 paragraphs (1), (4) of Law No. 23 of 2004 concerning the Elimination of Domestic Violence Ladder, Suspect I Made Eka Susila from the Badung District Prosecutor's Office who is suspected of violating Article 335 of the Criminal Code on Threats, Suspect I Komang Duwi Antara from the Jembrana District Attorney who was suspected violates Article 362 of the Criminal Code concerning theft, suspect I Wayan Suarsa from the Tabanan District Prosecutor's Office who is suspected of violating Article 362 of the Criminal Code on Theft.

The granting of claims based on this restorative justice, is given to the suspect for several reasons, include this is the first time he has committed an act criminal or has never been convicted; the threat of a fine or imprisonment of not more than 5 years. "The peace process has taken place, the suspect has

apologized and the victim gave Apologies, the suspect has promised not to repeat his actions, process peace takes place voluntarily, through deliberation without pressure, coercion and intimidation, said Ketut. In addition, another reason for granting a termination of prosecution is based on restorative justice.

General Attorney of Indonesian, ST Burhanuddin, advised in every restorative justice decision, the Victim's initiative to apologize for the actions committed by the suspect is the main point; thus, the realization of peace, which arises from the conscience of the Victim, is related to the compensation, restitution, and rehabilitation is the decision of the Victim.

Jampidum Fadil also said that the advantages of resolving cases through Restorative Justice do not prioritize punishment. It is related to the interests of the victim. Without a request for apologize and peace from the victim, it is impossible to file a case with the concept of mediation criminal justice (solving cases out of court) or restorative justice.

Furthermore, *Jampidum Fadil* ordered the Head of the District Attorney to issue a Decision on Termination of Prosecution (SKP2) based on Restorative Justice under the Attorney General's Regulation Number 15 of 2020 and the JAM Circular Letter Pidum Number: 01/E/EJP/02/2022 dated February 10, 2022, regarding the Implementation of

Termination Prosecution Based on Restorative Justice as a form of legal certainty.

In empirical facts in the prosecution process, prosecutors are more likely to use Procedural Justice. Given that the prosecutor only receives a submission from the investigator, the existing procedures provide little space for the prosecutor to exercise discretion. However, in the contemporary context, the Attorney General's Office has issued a regulation related to Restorative Justice.

As for the results of an in-depth interview with one of the prosecutors at the Tanjung Perak District Attorney's Office, the following findings were obtained as below:

- Tanjung Perak District Attorney's Office carries out each prosecution related to the applicable regulations such as the Law and the Attorney General's Regulation. Thus, prosecution procedures are based on established procedures.
- If a case is found that requires discretionary action, they refer to the regulations of the Attorney General's Office, such as restorative justice.
- in carrying out its duties and functions by reflecting on applicable regulations with the principle of conscience. Formal and material prosecution is carried out on the basis of statutory regulations.

Third, in the judge's decision stage. Certain regulation that becomes guidelines for judges when adjudicating cases is that judges must not only read the text of legal norms contained in the law. However, the judge needs to explore the values of justice that come from non-legal written sources. Therefore, law enforcement is not the same as law enforcement which always relies on written law, while proper law enforcement is enforcing the law and enforcing unwritten laws because, in truth, the law and the law are different; the concept of the living law (the law that lives in society) must be explored by a judge. Back to the law, the law can be in the form of written law or unwritten law.

According to Ronald Beiner, the judge's decision is a "... mental activity that is not bound to" rules....". The rule or legal principle of how judges decide which has the "right" solution in their mind. A proposition about legal actors like judges inevitably relies on assumptions about the type and extent of knowledge researchers can acquire of social reality.24 The legal culture of judges is the basis for judges to decide a case. Judicial decision-making culture is the cornerstone of the justice system - few officials and the rest of the public have a judge's power and influence. In short, in Legal culture, the judge is the embodiment of the judge's thoughts or views.²⁵ Charles G. Haines said that judicial

Court decisions are influenced by judges' views on public policy and judges' personalities regarding certain decisions. ²⁶ Court decision refers to a court's judgment or ruling based on the facts in the evidence and how the law was applied to certain evidence. Judges are not only guided by the law but also must consider the rules in society and the legal principles whose status has higher standards, such as the precautionary, defensive, and strict liability principles.²⁷

Law enforcement efforts based on forming legal culture based on justice must be put forward during "chaotic" law in the country. Law enforcement as an effort to uphold justice can also be a means of criticism or criticism correction of positive law. Justice is the main basis for the rule of positive law and measures of human behavior. In that case, law enforcement efforts can be carried out within the framework to achieve a balance of life between humans to create justice, peace, order, and the general good in society. Several examples of legal cases that have been corrected in their decisions as a form of justice, one of them is the Baiq Nuril Maknun case in the ITE Crime, where Baiq Nuril which was

decisions are affected by the judge's view of public policy and the personality of a particular judge rendering the decision.

²⁴ Wessel Wijtvliet, "Judicial Decision-Making," in An Analytic Framework for Research on Judicial Decision-Making (Springer, 2022), 123–57.

²⁵ Rochmani, Safik Faozi, and Adi Suliantoro, "Budaya Hukum Hakim Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Lingkungan Hidup Di Pengadilan," in *Seminar* Nasional Multi Disiplin Ilmu Unisbank, 2016.

²⁶ Ioulia Koublitskaia, "The Relationship between Legal and Extra-Legal Factors: How Judges Come to Make Their Decisions in Domestic Violence Cases" (University of New Orleans, 2012).

²⁷ Absori, "Penegakan Hukum Lingkungan Pada Era Reformasi," *Jurnal Ilmu Hukum* 8, no. 2 (2005).

initially acquitted turned into a sentence of 6 was changed to a prison sentence of 4 (four) (six) months and a fine. Pinangki Prosecutor years. As well as other examples of cases Sirna Malasari in a criminal act of corruption, in which the prison sentence is 10 (ten) years

Table 2. Examples of Legal Decision Correction Cases

No	Case	Legal Decision Corr Decision	Verdict		
110	Case				
1	ITE Baiq Nuril Maknun in ITE Crime	Number 574 K/Pid.Sus/2018	Canceling the Mataram District Court Decision No. 265/Pid.Sus/2017/P.N.Mtr which ruled acquittal (vrijspraak) Become 14 - imprisonment for 6 (six) months and - a fine of Rp. 500,000,000.00 (five hundred million rupiah) provided that if the fine is not paid, it is replaced with imprisonment for 3		
2	Pinangki Attorney Sirna 58 lasari in criminal acts of Corruption, Money Laundering, and evil conspiracy	10/PID.SUS- TPK/2021/PT DKI	Amend the Corruption Court Decision at the Jakarta District Court Number 38/Pid.Sus-TPK/2020/P.N. which sentenced the Defendant with Bed 15 le: - imprisonment for 4 (four) years and - a fine of Rp. 600,000,000 (six hundred million rupiah), provided that if the fine is not paid, it is replaced with imprisonment for 6 (six) n 18 ths		
3	Anas 49 paningrum in criminal acts of corruption that are carried out continuously and money laundering which is carried out repeatedly	246 PK/Pid.Sus/2018	Canceled the Supreme Court Decision Number 261 K/Pid.Sus/2015 which sentenced the defendant with imprisonment for 14 (fourteen) years a fine of Rp.5,000,000,000,000.00 (five billion rupiah) provided that if the fine is not paid, it is replaced with imprisonment for 1 (one) year 4 (four) months pay compensation in the amount of Rp57,592,330,580.00 (fifty-seven Illion five hundred ninety-two million three hundred thirty		

thousand five hundred eighty rupiah) and USD 5,261,070 (five million two hundred sixty-one thousand and seventy U.S. Dollars) provided that if the Defendant has not paid the replacement money within 1 (one) month after the Court's decision has permanent legal force, then his assets are confiscated auctioned off to cover the replacement money, whereas if his assets are not sufficient to pay the money. replacement then sentenced to imprisonment for 4 36 ur) years revocation of the right to be elected in public office Become: imprisonment for 8 (eight) years pinalty 16 payment Rp.300,000,000.00 (three hundred million rupiah) with the provision that if the fine is not paid, the convict is subject to a penalty in lieu of a fine in the form of imprisonment for 3 (three) months - pay compensation for state loss 18 amount the in Rp.57,592,330,580.00 and USD 5,261,070 provided that if the convict does not pay mpensation at the latest within 1 (one) month after the court's decision has obtained permanent legal force, then his property can be confiscated by the Prosecutor and auctioned off to cover the replacement money and in the event that the convict does not have sufficient assets to pay the replacement money, he shall be sentenced to imprisonment for 2 (two) years. revocation of the right to be elected in public office for 5 (five) years from the time the convict finishes serving his main sentence Idrus Marham in 3681 Canceling the Corruption Court criminal acts of K/Pid.Sus/2019 Decision at the DKI Jakarta High corruption that Number 16/PID.SUS-Court are carried out TPK/2019/P.T.DKI which sentenced

			6
	jointly and		the defendant with
	continuously		- imprisonment for 5 (five) years
			and
			- a fine of Rp. 200,000,000.00 (two
			hundred million rupiah) provided that
			if the fine is not paid, it is replaced
			with imprisonment for 3 (three)
			months
			Became:
			- imprisonment for 2 (two) years and
			a fine of Rp. 50,000,000.00 (fifty
			million rupiah) provided that if the
			fine is not paid, it is replaced with
			impr ₄₅ ment for 3 (three) months
5	Edhy Prabowo	942	Amends Decision Number 30/Pid.Sus-
	in the	K/Pid.Sus/2022	TPK/2021/P.T.DKI which punishes
	Corruption		the defendant by: 9
	Crime which		- imprisonment for 9 (nine) years
	was carried out		and a fine of Rp. 400,000,000,-
	together		(Four Hundred Million Rupiah)
			provided that if the fine is not paid
			it will be replaced with
			imprisonment for 6 (Six) months
			- pay a replacement amont of Rp.
			9,687,447,219,- (Nine Billion Six
			Hundred Eighty Seven Million
			Four Hundred Forty Seven
			Thousand Two Hundred Nineteen
			Rupiah) and a total of USD 77,000
			1 eventy Seven Thousand U.S.
			Dollars) taking into account the
			money that has been returned by
			the Defendant, if the Defendant
			does not pay the replacement
			money within 1 (one) month after
			the Court's decision has permanent
			legal force, the assets are
			confiscated by the Prosecutor and
			auctioned off to cover the
			replacement money, in the event
			that the Defendant does not have
			sufficient assets to pay the
			replacement money, he is
			sentenced to imprisonment for 3
			Three) years
			- Revocation of the right to be
			elected to public office for 3
			(three) years after the Defendant
			has finished serving his main
			sentence.

			Bed 6me:
			- imprisonment for 5 (five) years
			and a fine of Rp. 400,000,000,-
			(Four Hundred Million Rupiah)
			provided that if the fine is not
			paid, it will be replaced with
			imprisonment for 6 (Six) months
			- pay a replacement amont of Rp.
			9,687,447,219,- (Nine Billion Six
			Hundred Eighty Seven Million
			Four Hundred Forty Seven
			Thousand Two Hundred Nineteen
			Rupiah) and a total of USD 77,000
			eventy Seven Thousand U.S.
			Dollars) taking into account the
			money that has been returned by
			the Defendant, if the Defendant
			does not pay the replacement
			money within 1 (one) month after
			the Court's decision has permanent
			legal force, the assets are
			confiscated by the Prosecutor and
			auctioned off to cover the
			replacement money, in the event
			that the Defendant does not have
			sufficient assets to pay the
			replacement money, he is
			sentenced to imprisonment for 3
			(Three) years
			- Revocation of the right to be
			elected to public office for 2 (two)
			years since the Defendant has
			•
6	Harry Wirespie	24 86/PID.SUS/2022	finishe ving his main sentence.
0	Herry Wirawan		Improving the decision of Bandung
		/PT BDG	District Court Number: 989/
			Pid.Sus/2021/P.N.Bdg. who punished
			the defendant with:
			- Life imprisonment
			- Imposition of Restitution fees on
			victims
			-
			Become:
			- Death Penalty Imposition of
			Restitution fees on victims
	oo: Indoo's dooisio		on the situation and condition Due

Source: Judge's decision

According to the table above, several cases change the original punishment based on the applied regulation to substitute regulation based

on the situation and condition. Due to certain condition and justice, a correction need to be done. In some cases, the decision becomes easier. But in another case, it could be worse than before.

Judges have full authority in conducting free legal discovery based on the description above. Moreover, Article 5 of the Law on the Principles of Judicial Power states that judges and constitutional court judges must explore legal values that live and grow in society. Thus, the legal culture of judges provides remarkable space for realizing justice.

Throughout the author's observation, there are 2 (two) models of judges' legal culture: positivistic judge culture and progressive judge culture. In the positivistic judge culture, judges interpret and decide following the evidence at trial based on the applicable laws and regulations. Whereas judges with a progressive legal culture, judges examine evidence by the law. The judge will also consider the legal values found in the trial. Thus, the application of procedural and substantive justice can be elaborated in one decision. However, this depends on the case.

Furthermore, based on an in-depth interview with one of the judges at the Surabaya District Court, the following findings were obtained: First, judges in deciding a case are still guided by the rule of law, both formal and material law. In addition to these two legal instruments, judges are also guided by the rules made by the Supreme Court because, after all, the panel of judges is the Supreme Court.

However, these two tools do not affect the independence of judges in

deciding cases. Secondly, judges must still explore the legal values that live and grow in society to provide a just decision. It is very important as a judge's effort to make legal discoveries.

In a criminal case, the judge must be active in obtaining the facts of the trial and examine all of the evidence presented either by the public prosecutor or by the defendant or his legal counsel.

Conclusion

Based on the results and discussion above, it can be concluded that definitive procedural justice is closely related to the propriety and transparency of processes' decision-making. It contrasts substantive justice, interpreted as "Justice fairly administered according to rules."

In applying Procedural Justice Via a Vis Substantive Justice in law enforcement, the author divides it into three stages: the investigation, prosecution, and decision. At the investigation stage, there are 2 (two) polarization of investigators' thoughts regarding the use of articles or the application in concrete cases: the investigator will follow the procedures that have been determined in the investigation process, or, on the contrary, the investigator looks more at the substance of the reported criminal act.

At the prosecution stage, as an empirical fact, prosecutors are more likely to use Procedural Justice. Prosecutor only receives a delegation from the investigator;

the existing procedures do not allow the prosecutor to exercise discretion. Meanwhile, judges have full authority to make legal discoveries at the decision stage.

Thus, judges' legal culture provides a remarkable space for realizing justice. And this research classifies 2 (two) models of judges' legal culture in upholding justice, namely the positivistic

judge culture model (following applicable legislation) and the progressive judge culture (in addition to legislation also considering legal values found in the trial). All of these stages reflect that, there is a discussion between the two. However, it must be remembered that both Procedural Justice and Substantive Justice concepts are still applied based on applicable legal norms.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Book

Oxford University Press, 1973.

Normatif Dan Empiris. 5th ed. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group, 2022.

Efendi, Jonaedi. Metode Penelitian Hukum: Soeroso, R. Pengantar Ilmu Hukum. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, 2004.

Friedman, Lawrence. American Law an Journal Jakarta: Tata Nusa, 2001.

Introduction. Edited by Wishnu Basuki. Absori. "Penegakan Hukum Lingkungan Pada Era Reformasi." Jurnal Ilmu Hukum 8, no. 2 (2005).

Friedman, Lawrence M. Sistem Hukum Media, 2011.

Perspektif Ilmu Sosial. Bandung: Nusa Boella, Guido, and Leendert van der Torre. "Substantive and Procedural Norms in Normative Multiagent Systems." Journal of Applied Logic 6, no. 2 (June 2008):

152 - 71.

Mehta, Amitabh. Organisation Development: Principles, Process & Performance. New Delhi: Global India Publications, 2009.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jal.2007.06.006.

A. "Substantive versus Ehrenberg, Kenneth M. "Procedural Justice Nolan, Daniel Procedural Criminal Law." In The Encyclopedia of Criminology Criminal Justice, 1–5. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd, https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118517383. wbeccj467.

and Information in Conflict-Resolving Institutions." Albany Law Review 67 (2004): 167–209.

Rawls, John. A Theory of Justice. London:

2013. Faizal, Liky. "PROBLEMATIKA HUKUM **PROGRESIF** DΙ INDONESIA." Jurnal *Ijtima'iyya:* Pengembangan Masyarakat Islam 9, no. 2 (2016). https://doi.org/10.24042/ijpmi.v9i2.947. Rochmani, Safik Faozi, and Adi Suliantoro.

Hutagalung, Siti Merida. "PENEGAKAN HUKUM DI INDONESIA: APAKAH INDONESIA NEGARA HUKUM?" Sociae Polites, October 2017, 109-26. https://doi.org/10.33541/sp.v1i1.465.

Kasiyati, Siti. "Law Enforcement in Indonesia in Perspective of Transcendental Legal Justice Paradigm." Journal Transcendental Law 2, no. 2 (December 15, 2020): 100-114. https://doi.org/10.23917/jtl.v2i2.11855.

Lathif. Nazaruddin. SEBAGAI SARANA ALAT UNTUK MEMPERBAHARUI **ATAU** MEREKAYASA MASYARAKAT." PALAR | PAKUAN LAW REVIEW 3, no. (January 2017). https://doi.org/10.33751/palar.v3i1.402.

Syawali, Rizki Amrulloh, and Weganisa. "Keadilan Substantif Dalam Proses Asset Recovery Hasil Tindak Pidana Korupsi." Jurnal Suara Hukum 3, no. 2 (2021).https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26740/js h.v3n2.p227-250.

Muslimin, JM., and Yulia Fatma. "The Actualization of Justice in the Settlement Wangga, Maria Silvya E., R. Bondan Agung of Joint Assets Due to Divorce: Comparative Analysis of Decisions of the Religious Courts." Journal de Jure 12, no. 2 (December 2020): 176-90. https://doi.org/10.18860/jfsh.v12i2.9064.

"Budaya Hukum Hakim Dalam Penyelesaian Perkara Lingkungan Hidup Di Pengadilan." In Seminar Nasional Multi Disiplin Ilmu Unisbank, 2016.

Schaefer, David Lewis. "PROCEDURAL VERSUS SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE: RAWLS AND NOZICK." Social Philosophy and Policy 24, no. 1 (January 2007): 164-86. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0265052507070 070.

"TEORI HUKUM Schnaudt, Christian, Caroline Hahn, and Elias Heppner. "Distributive and Procedural Justice and Political Trust in Europe." Frontiers in Political Science 3 (May 2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2021.64223

Mahmud, Ade, Chepi Ali Firman Z, Husni Simanjuntak, Samuel Hamonangan, and Lita Tyesta A.L.W. "Procedural Justice or Substantive Justice: Review of Constitutional Court Decision Number: 91/Puu/Xviii/2020." Jurnal Ilmiah Kebijakan Hukum 16, no. 2 (July 2022): 341. https://doi.org/10.30641/kebijakan.2022.

> Kardono, and Aditya Wirawan. "Penegakan Hukum Korupsi Politik." Kanun Jurnal Ilmu Hukum 21, no. 1 (May 2019): 39-60. https://doi.org/10.24815/kanun.v21i1.12 862.

V16.341-362.

Weaver, Jo Lauren, and Jacqueline M.

Swank. "A Case Study of the

a Middle School." RMLE Online 43, no.

4 (April 2020): 1-9.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19404476.2020.1

733912.

Wijtvliet, Wessel. "Judicial Decision-

Making." In An Analytic Framework for Research on Judicial Decision-Making,

123-57. Springer, 2022.

Internet

Harjanto, Setyo Aji. "Polisi Selesaikan 15.039 Perkara Dengan Pendekatan Restorative

Justice." Bisnis.com, 2022. Accessed March 17, 2023.

Implementation of Restorative Justice in Team, Wex Definitions. "Substantive Law." Cornell Law School, 2021.

> https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/substa ntive_law#:~:text=Law which governs the original, a common law substantive right. Accessed May 24, 2023

Theses

Koublitskaia, Ioulia. "The Relationship between Legal and Extra-Legal Factors: How Judges Come to Make Their Decisions in Domestic Violence Cases." University of New Orleans, 2012.

APPLICATION ON PROCEDURAL JUSTICE VIS A VIS SUBSTANTIVE JUSTICE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

\cap	RI	G	INI.	Δ١	IT	Y R	FP	\cap	B.	т
U	KI	U	LIN	Hι	-1 I	חו	CP	U	П	ı

1	9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIM	ARY SOURCES	
1	voi.id Internet	153 words -2%
2	journal.uin-alauddin.ac.id Internet	106 words — 1%
3	ejurnal.ubharajaya.ac.id Internet	93 words — 1 %
4	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet	89 words — 1 %
5	eprints.umsb.ac.id Internet	87 words — 1%
6	repository.president.ac.id Internet	74 words — 1%
7	www.coursehero.com Internet	54 words — 1 %
8	Nurcahyo Jungkung Madyo. "Implementation of Local Wisdom in Stopping Prosecutions Based on Restorative Justice", KnE Social Sciences, 2023 Crossref	52 words — 1 %
9	ejournal.unkhair.ac.id	

48 words —	1	%
40 WOIUS —		

- www.legal.isha.or.id
 Internet

 48 words 1 %
- www.michigan.gov
 Internet

 38 words < 1 %
- docplayer.net 36 words < 1 %
- siakad.univamedan.ac.id
 _{Internet}

 34 words < 1 %
- download.atlantis-press.com $_{\text{Internet}}$ 32 words -<1%
- nalrev.fhuk.unand.ac.id 15 nalrev.fhuk.unand.ac.id 32 words < 1%
- substantive justice.id 32 words < 1%
- Ridho Mamonto. "Restorative Justice Alternative Criminal Case Settlement In Indonesia", Estudiante Law Journal, 2022

 Crossref
- archives.palarch.nl $_{\text{Internet}}$ 28 words -<1%
- Nurul Putri Awaliah Nasution, Fathul Hamdani, Ana Fauzia. "The Concept of Restorative Justice in Handling Crimes in the Criminal Justice System", European Journal of Law and Political Science, 2022 Crossref



- journal.uho.ac.id 24 words < 1%
- Hurriyati Ratih, Tjahjono Benny, GafarAbdullah Ade, Sulastri, Lisnawati. "Advances in Business, Management and Entrepreneurship", CRC Press, 2020

 Publications
- Weda Kupita. "State Administrative Court as a Means to Realize Justice", SHS Web of Conferences, 2018

 Crossref
- eprints.walisongo.ac.id $_{\text{Internet}}$ 19 words -<1%
- Muhammad Akbar, Muhammad Said Karim, Amir $_{18 \text{ words}} < 1\%$ Ilyas. "Juridical Review of Obstacles to The Implementation of Restorative Justice in The Crime of Theft", Daengku: Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Innovation, 2022 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- Yuris Oktaviyani Citizen. "Termination of Prosecutions Based On Restorative Justice in The Settlement of Criminal Cases In The Area of The High Prosecutors Of Lampung", Pancasila and Law Review, 2022 Crossref
- ojs.udb.ac.id
 Internet

 18 words < 1 %

28	etd.repository.ugm.ac.id Internet	17 words — < 1 %
29	www.atlantis-press.com Internet	17 words — < 1 %
30	David Lowe, Dilip K. Das. "Trends in the Judiciary Interviews with Judges Across the Globe, Volume Two", CRC Press, 2019 Publications	15 words — < 1%
31	repository.usu.ac.id Internet	15 words — < 1 %
32	www.news24.com Internet	15 words — < 1 %
33	Betra Sarianti, JT Pareke. "The Impact of Child Victims 'Behavior on Judges' Light Vantages for Persons of Criminal Acts of Ability or Abuse", Jurn Hukum Indonesia, 2023 Crossref	14 words — < 1% nal Penegakan
34	Daffa Ladro Kusworo, Maghfira Nur Khaliza Fauzi "Hybrid Restorative Justice: Optimizing Cessation Of Prosecution The Case Theft Through Restorati House", Ius Poenale, 2022 Crossref	
35	ijoms.internationaljournallabs.com Internet	14 words — < 1 %
36	bircu-journal.com Internet	13 words — < 1 %
37	etheses.uin-malang.ac.id Internet	13 words — < 1 %

38	online-journal.unja.ac.id Internet	12 words — < 1 %
39	migrationletters.com Internet	11 words — < 1 %
40	repository.unair.ac.id Internet	11 words — < 1%
41	ejurnal.ung.ac.id Internet	10 words — < 1 %
42	dokumen.pub Internet	9 words — < 1%
43	jurnal.ensiklopediaku.org Internet	9 words — < 1%
44	legalknowledgebase.com Internet	9 words — < 1%
45	scholar.unand.ac.id Internet	9 words — < 1 %
46	Anthony M. Clohesy, Stuart Isaacs, Chris Sparks. "Contemporary Political Theorists in Context", Routledge, 2009 Publications	8 words — < 1%
47	Joko Sriwidodo, M.S. Tumanggor. "Regulation of Corporate Criminal Liability According To Law Number 1 Year 2023 On The Criminal Code", KRTI BHAYANGKARA, 2024 Crossref	8 words — < 1% HA
48	Ruslan Abdul Gani, Ghina Nabilah Effendi, Retno Kusuma Wardani. "Restorative Justice for	8 words — < 1%

Kusuma Wardani. "Restorative Justice for

Settlement of Minor Maltreatment in the Legal Area of the Merangin Police, Jambi Province'', Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan, 2023

Crossref

49	doaj.org Internet	8 words — < 1 %
50	ijmmu.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
51	jdih.bantenprov.go.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
52	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
53	radjapublika.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
54	vdoc.pub Internet	8 words — < 1 %
55	www.iiste.org Internet	8 words — < 1 %
56	www.rayyanjurnal.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
57	Achmad Fauzi, Rena Yulia, Ferry Fathurokhman, Muhammad Iqbal Ramadhan. "Interpreting the Material Requirements of Recidivism: Realizing Re Justice in the Police Force", Kosmik Hukum, 2023 Crossref	7 words - < 1% storative
58	Nur Rahmah. "THE PROBLEM OF THE JAKARTA	7 words — < 1%

HIGH COURT DECISION NUMBER 10 / PID. SUS-

TPK/2021/PT DKI AGAINST Dr. PINANGKI SIRNA MALASARI, S.

H., M. H", MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review, 2023

Crossref

59	Helen Pausacker. " in Indonesia", Rout		7 words $-<1\%$
	 	1 . 1 1	. 0/

Wang Minyuan. "Judicial reform and judicial procedural reform", China Law Review, 2005 $^{\text{Crossref}}$ 7 words -<1%

jurnal.unissula.ac.id 7 words - < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF